

November 28, 2024

**BSE Limited** 

Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Fort, Mumbai – 400 001

Scrip Code: 544001

Dear Sir/Madam,

Sub: Receipt of Purchase Order: Intimation under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

In terms of Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, we are pleased to inform you that M/s Sunita Tools Limited has received the contract for supply of different kinds of Mould Base totaling to Rs. 1.29 Crore (including tax) apart from the Work in Progress of 11.08 crores.

Further the details as required under the Listing Regulations read with SEBI Circular No. CIR/CFD/CMD/4/2015 dated September 9, 2015 is enclosed as Annexure - A

Kindly take the above information on record and acknowledge the receipt.

You are requested to disseminate the above information on your respective websites.

Thanking You,

**For Sunita Tools Limited** 

Satish Kumar Pandey Managing Director DIN: 00158327



## Annexure – A

Disclosure of Information pursuant to Regulation 30 of the SEBI Listing Regulations read with SEBI circular No. CIR/CFO/CMD/4/2015 dated September 9, 2015: SEBI/HO/CFD/PoD2/CIR/P/2023/120 dated July 11, 2023

Sr. No.	Particulars	Descriptions
1	Name of the entity awarding the order(s)/contract(s)	Not disclosed due to confidentiality
2	Significant terms and conditions of order(s)/contract(s) awarded in brief	9 Mould Bases
3	Whether order(s)/contract(s) have been awarded by domestic / international entity	Domestic
4	Nature of order(s)/contract(s)	Supply of different kind of mouldbase
5	Whether Domestic or International	Domestic
6	Time Period by which the order(s)/contract(s) is to be executed	Deliver ASAP
7	Broad consideration or size of the order(s)/contract(s)	Value of Order is Rs 1.29 Crores (Including Tax)
8	Whether the promoter/ promoter group/ group companies have any interest in the entity that awarded the order(s)/contract(s)? If yes, nature of interest and details thereof	No
9	Whether the order(s) /contract(s) would fall within related party transactions? If yes, whether the same is done at "arm's length"	No