

ONMOBILE GLOBAL LIMITED
Tower #1, 94/1 C & 94/2,
Veerasandra Village, Attibele Hobli,
Anekal Taluk, Electronic City Phase-1,
Bangalore - 560100, Karnataka,
India
P: +91 80 4009 6000 | F: +91 80 4009 6009
CIN - L64202KA2000PLC027860
Email - investors@onmobile.com
www.onmobile.com

August 14, 2023

To.

The Listing Department National Stock Exchange of India Limited Exchange Plaza, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East) Mumbai - 400 051 Scrip Code: ONMOBILE

Department of Corporate Services, BSE Limited, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai - 400 001 Scrip Code: 532944

Dear Sir/ Madam.

Sub: Disclosure under Regulation 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Pursuant to Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2023 read with Circular no. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/120 dated July 13, 2023, please find enclosed pending 'material' litigations (as defined in the Regulations) in **Annexure**.

Requesting you to please take the same on record.

Thanking you,

Yours Sincerely, For OnMobile Global Limited

P V Varaprasad Company Secretary

Encl: as above



## **Annexure**

## **Taxation matters:**

Sl. No	Assessment Year	Particulars	Туре	Appellate Forum	Entity*	Contingent Liability amount (Rs. In Million)
1	2018-19	Appeal filed before the ITAT against the assessment order	Income Tax	ITAT- Appellate Tribunal	OGL	147.26
2	2019-20	Appeal filed before the ITAT against the assessment order	Income Tax	ITAT- Appellate Tribunal	OGL	42.46
3	2016-17 (Original assessment)	Appeal filed before the ITAT against the assessment order	Income Tax	ITAT- Appellate Tribunal	OGL	110.95
4	2013-14	Appeal filed before the Commissioner-Appeals against the assessment order	Income Tax	Commissioner- Appeals	OGL	282.65
5	Advance Ruling	Writ petition against the order of AAR	Income Tax	High Court	OGL	52.80
6	Jul '12 to Nov '16	Appeal filed before CESTAT	Service Tax	Principal Commissioner, GST Commissionerate	OGL	1,046.63
7	April 2007 to March 2009	Appeal filed before CESTAT	Service Tax	Commissioner of Service Tax	OGL	112.77
8	Oct '11 to Sep '14	Appeal filed before CESTAT	Service Tax	Commissioner of Service Tax	OGL	45.74

<sup>\*</sup>OnMobile Global Limited (OGL)



## Other miscellaneous matters:

Sl. No.	Matter	Particulars	Amount claimed	Amount paid/	Forum
1	Star India Limited vs. OnMobile & Ors  BCCI vs. OnMobile & Ors  June, 2012	Suit filed by Star India against OnMobile on the grounds that OnMobile is infringing its property rights in BCCI cricket matches by sending cricket match updates. Star and BCCI had filed separate appeals before a Division Bench of the Delhi High Court against the Single Judge order of 8.11.2012 (which had gone in our favour). In its order of 03.12.2012, the Division Bench set aside the earlier order of 08.11.2012 and sent back the suits to the Single Judge's court to be heard again (primarily on account of certain procedural lapses).  Single Judge's order delivered on 13.03.2013, whereby, Star's appeal partially allowed. OnMobile and telcos can provide service without taking Star's Licence after a 15-minute delay. Highlights can be provided contemporaneously. OnMobile, Cricbuzz and Idea Cellular file appeal. As an interim measure the Appellants could continue their services re Star's alleged rights on a deposit of Rs. 10 lakhs each vide order dated 20.03.2013.  The Delhi High Court, Divisional Bench on 30.08.2013, allowed OnMobile's Appeal and set aside the Single Judge's 13.03.2013 Order.  Star files SLP in Supreme Court against the 30.08.2013 order passed by the Delhi High Court Divisional Bench in OnMobile's favour.  BCCI files SLP in Supreme Court on similar grounds against the 30.08.2013 order passed by the Delhi High Court Divisional Bench in OnMobile's favour.  There is also a CS (OS) No. 2780 OF 2012 - Star India Private Limited vs. OnMobile Global Limited & Ors. Delhi High Court directs parties to exchange "proposed issues" on the matter. Star files application before Delhi High Court seeking amendment of their claim amount to a higher amount of Rs. 2 crores.  At the Delhi High Court hearing on 13.01.2016, the court noted that since the matter as claimed by Star was not an IPR matter [IPR matters are to stay in the High Court irrespective of claim amount], an application for amendment of claim amount on a non-IPR matter would have to be decided by the District and Sessions Judge Patiala House Court, Ne	Claim for accounts & damages of Rs. 50 lakhs	payable  (i) Rs. 1.7 crores as deposit with Court recoverable in full if the case finally goes in our favour. (ii) Rs. 16,66,667 deposited with the Supreme Court as security for costs.	Supreme Court, High Court of Delhi, District and Sessions Judge Patiala House Court



2	Mr. Sanjay	Mr. Sanjay Bhambri has filed this case based on his	Rs.3,23,83,716	No amount	Principal
	Bhambri	interpretation of unfair termination of Employment		paid so far	Senior
	Vs	seeking a claim for compensation of Rs.3,23,83,716			Civil
	OnMobile	against wrongful and invalid termination of			Judge,
	Global Limited.	employment.			Anekal,
					Bangalore
	14 <sup>th</sup> Dec, 2021	Additionally, he has claimed 18% of the Total			
		Amount, till the pendency of the Litigation. Plus,			
		66,860 shares to be given.			