Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion) submitted along-with Annual Audited Financial Results – (Standalone)

Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications for the Financial Year ended March 31, 2023
[See Regulation 33/55 of the SEBI (LODR) (Amendment) Regulations, 2016]

| ** | * | | |
|-----|----|------|-----|
| Rs. | In | l al | 110 |
| | | | |

| [. | Sr. No. | Particulars | Audited Figures (as reported before adjusting for qualifications) | Adjusted Figures (audited figures after adjusting for qualifications) |
|----|------------|---|---|--|
| | 1 | Turnover / Total Income | 6,084.63 | 6,084.63 |
| | 2 | Total Expenditure | 2,529.81 | 2,529.81 |
| | 3 | Net Profit/(Loss) | 3,564.41 | 3,564.41 |
| | 4 | Earnings Per Share | 29.79 | 29.79 |
| | 5 | Total Assets | 15,003.13 | 15,003.13 |
| | 6 | Total Liabilities | 9,557.73 | 9,557.73 |
| | 7 | Net Worth | 5,445.40 | 5,445.40 |
| | 8 | Any other financial item(s) (as felt appropriate by the management) | NIL | NIL |

| TT | (!\ A J!z | 0 1:0 1: | (1 12 12 2 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 |
|-----|-----------|---------------|---|
| 11. | (1) Augit | Qualification | (each audit qualification separately): |

- a. Details of Audit Qualification: In relation to Going Concern assumption we refer to Note 7 of the Statement where it is mentioned that the Company's current liabilities are in excess of its current assets by ₹. 4,186.57 lakhs which is largely on account of current maturities of its long-term debts. Further, as mentioned in Note 4 of the Statement, the Company has contingent liabilities regarding EPCG Obligations, demands from sales tax / MVAT department and invocation of the Shortfall Undertaking by a lender of a subsidiary having amount of ₹. 6,627.20 lakhs. Further, majority of the cranes have been idle with values deteriorating due to corrosion and being stationed unused and at remote locations. These situations indicate that a material uncertainty exists that may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern, however the Company has prepared its standalone financial statements as a going concern. The impact of the same on the standalone financial statements of the Company is unascertainable.
- b. Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion / Disclaimer of Opinion / Adverse Opinion
- c. Frequency of qualification: Whether appeared first time / repetitive / since how long continuing
- d. For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the auditor,
 Management's Views: The impact of the qualification is not quantified by the
 Auditor.

| c. | Frequency of qualification: Whether appeared first time / repetitive / since how long continuing |
|----|--|
| d. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the auditor, Management's Views: The impact of the qualification is not quantified by the Auditor. |
| e. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantified by the auditor: |
| | (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit qualification: The Impact of the same on the Standalone financial statements of the Company is unascertainable. |
| | (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, reasons for the same: The Management has made efforts to ascertain the balance confirmation from the lender. However, no balance confirmation from the lender as on 31 st March, 2023 has been received. |
| | (iii) Auditor's Comments on (i) or (ii) above: Auditor has not provided any comment on Management clarifications. |

| II. | (iv) | Audit Qualification (each audit qualification separately): |
|------|------|---|
| | a. | Details of Audit Qualification: As mentioned in the note no 5 of the Statement, in respect of Trade Receivables, Trade Payables, Loans & Advances (Assets) and Advances Liabilities are subject to confirmation/ reconciliation from respective parties. Hence, any material impact on the financial statement due to the same cannot be ascertained. |
| | b. | Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion / Disclaimer of Opinion / Adverse Opinion |
| | c. | Frequency of qualification: Whether appeared first time / repetitive / since how long continuing |
| | d. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the auditor, Management's Views: The impact of the qualification is not quantified by the Auditor. |
| | e. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantified by the auditor: |
| | | (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit qualification: Since the parties have not provided their confirmation the Management has relied on the information available in the Companies record. |
| | | (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, reasons for the same: Reconciliation of balances of trade receivables, trade payables and others are an ongoing exercise and the figures can be ascertained only when the reconciliation is finalised. Hence at this stage, impact of the same is unascertainable. |
| | | (iii) Auditor's Comments on (i) or (ii) above: Auditor has not provided any comment on Management clarifications. |
| III. | | Signatories: |

| Saket Agarwal Managing Director London 15.06.2023 | Lanter price |
|---|--------------|
| Edwina D'Souza | (2 (Mumbai) |
| Director Mumbai 15.06.2023 | Tel (5) |

Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion) submitted along-with Annual Audited Financial Results – (Consolidated)

Statement on Impact of Audit Qualifications for the Financial Year ended March 31, 2023
[See Regulation 33/55 of the SEBI (LODR) (Amendment) Regulations, 2016]

| | T | | Rs. In Lakh |
|------------|--|--|--|
| Sr. No. | Particulars | Audited Figures (as reported before adjusting for qualifications) | Adjusted Figures (audited figures after adjusting for qualifications) |
| 1 | Turnover / Total Income | 15,762.50 | 15,762.50 |
| 2 | Total Expenditure | 7,110.11 | 7,110.11 |
| 3 | Net Profit/(Loss) | 8,603.02 | 8,603.02 |
| 4 | Earnings Per Share | 72.03 | 72.03 |
| 5 | Total Assets | 34,904.90 | 34,904.90 |
| 6 | Total Liabilities | 32,101.65 | 32,101.65 |
| 7 | Net Worth | 2,803.25 | 2,803.25 |
| 8 | Any other financial item(s) (as felt appropriate by the management) | NIL | NIL |
| (i) A | udit Qualification (each audit quali | fication separately): | |
| | on the account of current maturities of its long term debts. Further, as mentioned in note 5 to the Statement, the Company has contingent liabilities regarding EPCG obligations, demands from the sales tax / MVAT department and invocation of the shortfall undertaking by a lender of subsidiary of Rs.6,627.20 lakhs. These situations indicate that material uncertainty exists that may cast significant doubt on the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. However, the company has prepared its statement as a going concern. The impact of the same on the statement of the company is unascertainable. | | |
| | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate significant doubt on the Compa However, the company has prepare of the same on the statement of the | Company has continge on the sales tax / Making by a lender of subthat material uncertainty ny's ability to continued its statement as a going company is unascertain | Further, as mentioned nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may cast as a going concerning concern. The impactable. |
| b. | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate significant doubt on the Compa However, the company has prepar | Company has continge on the sales tax / Making by a lender of subthat material uncertainty ny's ability to continued its statement as a going company is unascertain | Further, as mentioned nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may case as a going concerning concern. The impactable. |
| b. c. | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate significant doubt on the Compa However, the company has prepare of the same on the statement of the Type of Audit Qualification: Qualification: Qualification: | Company has continge om the sales tax / Making by a lender of subthat material uncertainty ny's ability to continue ed its statement as a going company is unascertain alified Opinion / Diselain | Further, as mentioned nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may cast as a going concerning concern. The impactable. |
| | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate significant doubt on the Compa However, the company has prepare of the same on the statement of the Type of Audit Qualification: Quadverse Opinion Frequency of qualification: Whe | Company has continged on the sales tax / Making by a lender of subthat material uncertainting's ability to continue ed its statement as a going company is unascertainalified Opinion / Disclaim ther appeared first time where the impact is quantification. | Further, as mentioned nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may cast as a going concerning concern. The impact able. More of Opinion / V repetitive / since how led by the auditor, |
| c. | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate a significant doubt on the Compa However, the company has prepare of the same on the statement of the Type of Audit Qualification: Quadverse Opinion Frequency of qualification: Whe long continuing For Audit Qualification(s) where Management's Views: The impact | Company has continged by a lender of substant material uncertainting by a bility to continue ed its statement as a going company is unascertaintalified Opinion / Disclaint ther appeared first time with the continue of the impact is quantified of the qualification is a substant of the qualification is a substant of the qualification is a substant of the substant of the substant of the qualification is a substant of the substant | nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may case as a going concerning concern. The impact able. The impact of Opinion / The impact |
| c. | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate is significant doubt on the Compa However, the company has prepare of the same on the statement of the Type of Audit Qualification: Quantification: Whe long continuing For Audit Qualification(s) where Management's Views: The impact auditor. For Audit Qualification(s) where the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in | Company has continged on the sales tax / Making by a lender of subthat material uncertainty ny's ability to continue ed its statement as a going company is unascertainalified Opinion / Disclain ther appeared first time where the impact is quantified of the qualification is the edge of the design of the qualification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification is the edge of the impact is not quantification. | . Further, as mentioned nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may case as a going concerning concern. The impact able. The repetitive / since how the auditor, not quantified by the auditor. |
| c. | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate a significant doubt on the Compart However, the company has prepare of the same on the statement of the Type of Audit Qualification: Quadverse Opinion Frequency of qualification: Whe long continuing For Audit Qualification(s) where Management's Views: The impact auditor. For Audit Qualification(s) where the continuity of the | Company has continged on the sales tax / Making by a lender of subthat material uncertainty my's ability to continue ed its statement as a going company is unascertain alified Opinion / Diselain ther appeared first time where the impact is quantified of the qualification is a the impact is not quantified to five the impact of audit quantification is a state of the impact of audit quantification is a state of the impact of audit quantification is a state of the impact of audit quantification is a state of the impact of audit quantification is a state of the impact of audit quantification is a state of the impact of audit quantification is a state of the impact of audit quantification is a state of a state o | Further, as mentioned nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may case as a going concerning concern. The impactable. The repetitive / since how the auditor, not quantified by the auditor alification: |
| c. | in note 5 to the Statement, the EPCG obligations, demands from invocation of the shortfall undertal lakhs. These situations indicate is significant doubt on the Compa However, the company has prepare of the same on the statement of the Type of Audit Qualification: Quantification: Whe long continuing For Audit Qualification(s) where Management's Views: The impact auditor. For Audit Qualification(s) where the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in the company in the company in the company is a significant of the company in | Company has continged on the sales tax / Making by a lender of subthat material uncertainty my's ability to continue ed its statement as a going company is unascertain alified Opinion / Diselain ther appeared first time where the impact is quantified of the qualification is a the impact of audit quantities to find the impact of audit quantities to find the impact of audit quantities and the impact of audit quantities to find the impact of audit quantities and the impact of audit quantities are the impact of audit quantities. | Further, as mentioned nt liabilities regarding VAT department and osidiary of Rs.6,627.20 y exists that may case as a going concerning concern. The impact able. More of Opinion / Verepetitive / since how ed by the auditor, not quantified by the auditor alification: Isons for the same: |

| e. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantified by the auditor: | |
|----|---|--|
| | (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit qualification: | |
| | (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, reasons for the same: The holding Company have not received the latest audited financials of South west port Limited. Hence prepared the consolidated financials based on the last audited financials available with the holding company. The holding company doesn't have any control (direct or indirect) on the board of the respective associates companies. | |
| | (iii) Auditor's Comments on (i) or (ii) above: Auditor has not provided any comment on Management clarification. | |

| II. (iv) | Audit Qualification (each audit qualification separately): |
|----------|---|
| a. | Details of Audit Qualification: As more fully explained in Note 10 to the statement, Kandla Container Terminal Private Limited ("KCTPL") has not complied with sections 149,177 and 178 of the Act with respect to appointment of independent directors, constitution of audit committee and remuneration committee during the year ended 31 March 2023. Pending regularization of the aforementioned defaults, Liabilities/penalties, if any, on account of the above noncompliance are presently not ascertainable and therefore have not been provided for in the statement. |
| b. | Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion / Disclaimer of Opinion / Adverse Opinion |
| c. | Frequency of qualification: Whether appeared first time / repetitive / since how long continuing |
| d. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the auditor, Management's Views: Impact is not quantified by the Auditor. |
| e. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantified by the auditor: (i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit qualification |
| | (ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, reasons for the same: The Management of the Company is in the process of appointing Independent directors, constitution of Audit Committee and Remuneration Committee. |
| | (iii) Auditor's Comments on (i) or (ii) above: Auditor has not provided any comment on Management clarification. |

| | II. | (v) | Audit Qualification (each audit qualification separately): | |
|---|-----|--|--|--|
| Ш | | a. | Details of Audit Qualification: Some of the cranes of the Holding Company are | |
| П | | | not on hire and is causing deterioration in life of the assets. However, the | |
| П | | | Company has not conducted an impairment study under Ind AS 36. As mentioned | |
| П | | | in Note 11, the Company has continued to carry its PPE at book value. The impact | |
| | | | of the same on the consolidate financial statements of the Company is unascertainable. | |
| | | b. | Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion / Disclaimer of Opinion / Adverse Opinion | |
| | | c. | Frequency of qualification: Whether appeared first time / repetitive / since how long continuing | |
| | d. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is quantified by the auditor, Management's Views: Impact is not quantified by the Auditor. | | |
| | | e. | For Audit Qualification(s) where the impact is not quantified by the auditor: | |

| III. | Signatories: | |
|--|--------------|--|
| Saket Agarw Managing D London 15.0 | irector | 4 de la nterprise |
| Edwina D'S Director Mumbai 15.0 | | Mumbai) of the second of the s |