

DIAMOND POWER INFRASTRUCTURE LTD. Corporate Office: GSEC Ltd., 2[™] Floor,

Corporate Office: GSEC Etd., 2rd Floor, Gujarat Chamber's Building, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad - 380 009, Gujarat, India. T : +91-79-26554100 / 26575757 F : +91-79-26584040

F:+91-79-26584040 W:www.dicabs.com DT. 10.12.2022

To, Listing Department BSE Limited 25th Floor, P. J. Tower, Dalal Street, Mumbai

Scrip Code: 522163

Symbol: DIAPOWER

KA: Mr. Yash Gala

Sub: Waiver Request/ Application Ref: SOP-CReview-(22-Nov-2022)

Dear Sir/Madam,

With reference to your email dated 22nd November, 2022 and further mail dated 30th November, 2022 and pursuant to Exchange Notice No. 20220331-52.

We hereby apply with a request for waiver Fine of Rs. 78,000 plus GST in respect of non-compliance of certain provisions of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 and Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) for suspension and revocation of trading of specified securities of listed entities.

We would like to submit that:

- 1. In accordance with the applicable provisions of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code 2016 (IBC Code), the Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process (CIRP) of the Company was initiated by the Financial Creditors of the Company. The Financial Creditors petition to initiated the CIRP was admitted by the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) on 24th August, 2018 (Insolvency Commencement Date). Mr. R.D. Chaudhary was appointed as Interim Resolution Professional (IRP) on 24th August, 2018 to manage the affairs of the Company.
- Since the commencement of insolvency proceedings of the Company, the powers of the erstwhile board of directors were suspended and were vested in the interim resolution professional/resolution professional.
- 3. Of the various resolution plans submitted, the COC approved the resolution plan submitted by M/s GSEC Ltd in consortium with Mr. Rakeshbhai R. Shah and affiliate groups (GSEC & AFFILIATES) at its meeting held on 6th January, 2022. The RP submitted the COC approved resolution plan to the NCLT for its approval and the NCLT approved the resolution plan submitted by M/s GSEC Ltd in consortium with Mr. Rakeshbhai R. Shah and affiliate groups vide its order dated 20th June, 2022.
- 4. The National Company Law Tribunal, Ahmedabad bench vide NCLT Order IA No. 160 of 2022 in CP (IB) 137 of 2018 dated 20/06/2022 approved the resolution plan submitted by the consortium of GSEC Limited along with Mr. Rakesh Ramanlal Shah and other affiliates. A copy of the same is attached herewith as Annexure-A



DIAMOND POWER INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.

Corporate Office: GSEC Ltd., 2nd Floor, Gujarat Chamber's Building, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad - 380 009, Gujarat, India.

T: +91-79-26554100/26575757

F: +91-79-26584040 W: www.dicabs.com

Further, 17th September 2022 which was fixed as a trigger date, when change of management has taken place, a first meeting of the newly constituted Board of Directors was convened. Also on the same day, the undersigned is appointed as Company Secretary & Compliance Officer. All the necessary disclosures of the outcome of the said Board Meeting has already been disclosed to the Stock Exchanges.

Under the aforesaid NCLT order dated 20th June, 2022 and Approved Resolution plan submitted, the NCLT has also considered the various reliefs and waiver of penalty by various State & Central Govt. authorities, viz. MCA, Stock Exchanges, SEBI, Income Tax authorities, etc.

We hereby request you to kindly refer Para 10 read with Para 17 of NCLT order dated 20th June 2022 with due Order of the approved resolution Plan. Under the duly approved Resolution plan, the Successful Resolution Applicant has sought for various reliefs and concessions towards the recovery of claims during the period of CIR process and the Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal has kindly granted the same vide its order dated 20th June 2022.

The newly constituted Board is in the phase of transitioning the takeover the management of the Company and also evaluating the status of various statutory compliances and collating the information/documents pertaining to any non-compliances, which process is ongoing and expected to be completed soon.

Looking forward to consider our intention to be a 100% Compliant company, we request you to warve below mentioned fines.

Applicable Regulation of SEBI (LODR)	Fine prescribed *	Fines levied for	(inclusiv		the company @ 18 %)
Regulations, 2015			Basic Fine	GST @ 18 %	Tota! Fine payable
Regulation 6(1) Non-compliance with requirement to appoint a qualified company secretary as the compliance officer	Rs. 1,000 per day (computed till quarter ended September 30, 2022)	For the quarter ended September 2022	78000	14040	92040
Regulation 7(1) Non-compliance with requirement to appoint share transfer agent	Rs. 1,000 per day (computed till quarter ended September 30, 2022)	For the quarter ended September 2022			





DIAMOND POWER INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.

Corporate Office : GSEC Ltd., 2rd Floor, Gujarat Chamber's Building, Ashram Road, Ahmedabad - 380 009, Gujarat, India.

T : +91-79-26554100 / 26575757 F : +91-79-26584040

W : www.dicabs.com

share Capital audit	Reconciliation of	egulation 2018 2022	a it is	(Depositories & ended	Participants) Regulation 2018 Non-submission of Reconciliation of		September			
---------------------	-------------------	---------------------	---------	-----------------------	---	--	-----------	--	--	--

Also further please find below payment details processing fees of waiver request

Company Name	Diamond Power Infrastructure Limited
Scrip Code	522163
Bank Account details (payment made)	BSER04326
UTR Number	SBIN522344138285
Date of payment	10TH DECEMBER, 2022

NER INFRAO

VADODAR

60ª 80

Please take the same on record and confirm the same.

Digitally signed by TUSHAR JANARDAN

12:46:00 +05'30'

For, Diamond Power Infrastructure Limited

TUSHAR JANARDAN

JANARDAN LAKHMAPURKAR Date: 2022.12.10

Tushar J. Lakhmapurkar VP - Legal & Company Secretary

Encl: NCLT Order

917 2022 6107 2022

ANNEXURE: A-1

Free of Cost Co

IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL, AHMEDABAD **DIVISION BENCH** COURT - 1

ITEM No.148

IA/160(AHM)2022 in CP(IB) 137 of 2018

Proceedings under Section 30(6) & 31 of IBC,2016

IN THE MATTER OF:

Prashant Jain RP of Diamond Power Infrastructure Ltd

.....Applicant

V/s

COC of Diamond Power Infrastructure Ltd

.....Respondent

Order delivered on ..20/06/2022

Coram:

Madan B. Gosavi, Hon'ble Member(J) Kaushalendra Kumar Singh, Hon'ble Member(T)

PRESENT:

For the Applicant

For the Respondent

ORDER

The case is fixed for pronouncement of order. The order is pronounced in open Court vide separate sheet.

-SD-

-SD-

KAUSHALENDRA KUMAR SINGH MEMBER (TECHNICAL)

MADAN B GOSAVI MEMBER (JUDICIAL)



BEFORE THE ADJUDICATING AUTHORITY NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL, AHMEDABAD BENCH COURT-1

IA No. 160 of 2022 in CP(IB) 137 of 2018

IN THE MATTER OF:

BANK OF INDIA

....FINANCIAL CREDITOR

V/S

M/S. DIAMOND POWER INFRASTRUCTURE

.... CORPORATE DEBTOR

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN:

RESOLUTION PROFESSIONAL OF M/S. DIAMOND POWER INFRASTRUCTURE LTD.

....APPLICANT

VERSUS

COMMITTEE OF CREDITORS OF M/S DIAMOND POWER INFRASTRUCTURE

.....RESPONDENT

MEMO OF THE PARTIES:

Resolution Professional of M/s. Diamond Power Ltd. Mr. Prashant Jain, A-501, Shaniheights, Plot No.2,3, 9B/10, Sector 11, Koparkhairne, Navi Mumbai-400709

V/s.

Committee of Creditors of M/s. Diamond Power Infrastructure Ltd. Through Lead COC Member Bank of India, Vadodara Main Branch, Vadodara, Post Box No. 132, Raopura, Vadodra-390001, Gujarat.

Order Reserved on: 09.05.2022 Order pronounced on: 20.06.2022

Coram: MADAN B. GOSAVI (MEMBER JUDICIAL) KAUSHALENDRA KUMAR SINGH (MEMBER TECHNICAL)

Appearance:

Ld. Sr. Adv. Mr. Kamal Trivedi along with Ld. Adv. Masoom K. Shah for the applicant.

Ld. Sr. Adv. Mr. Saurabh Soparkar along with Ld. Adv. Mr. Monaa Davawala for the Resolution Applicant.

Ld. Adv. Ms. Natasha D. Shah for the COC.

Ld. Adv. Mr. Kunal P. Vaishnav for the Suspended Management.

ORDER

[Per: MADAN B. GOSAVI, MEMBER (J)]

- 1. This application under Section 30(6) of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as "IBC, 2016") is filed by Mr. Prashant Jain-Resolution Professional of the Corporate Debtor M/s. Diamond Power Infrastructure Limited for approval of the resolution plan submitted by M/s. GSEC Ltd. in consortium with one Mr. Rakesh Shah.
- 2. The Corporate Debtor was admitted in the Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process (hereinafter referred to as "CIRP") on 24.08.2018. Mr. R. D. Choudhary was appointed as an IRP on 24.08.2018. The IRP made a public announcement of the CIRP of the Corporate Debtor and called upon its creditors to submit claims with requisite proof. He collated the claim. On 17.09.2018 the IRP formed the CoC consisting of the following financial creditors having voting percentage right as stated below:
 - (i) Bank of India, having 22.09 % voting share
 - (ii) Bank of Baroda (along with Dena Bank) 18.79 % voting

share

- (iii) ICICI Bank 12.08 % voting share
- (iv) Axis Bank 9.09 % voting share
- (v) Indian Bank (e-Allahabad Bank) 8.37 % voting share
- (vi) State Bank of India 8.33 % voting share
- (vii) Union Bank (e- Corporation Bank) 5.54 % voting share
- (viii) Indian Overseas Bank 5.03 % voting share
 - (ix) IFCI Bank 2.21 % voting share
 - (x) L & T Finance 1.25 % voting share
 - (xi) Tata Capital 0.77 % voting share
- (xii) Canara Bank (e-syndicate Bank) 0.48 % voting share
- (xiii) Dena Bank (Pension and Gratuity Fund) 0.44 % voting share
- (xiv) Bank of Maharashtra 0.41 % voting share
- (xv) EXIM Bank 2.66 % voting share
- (xvi) Chhattisgarh State Electricity board gratuity and Pension Fund Trust 0.28 % voting share
- (xvii) UCO Bank 2.19 % voting share

Later on, the IRP was replaced by Mr. Bhuwan Madan who was appointed as Resolution Professional as per the resolution passed by the CoC and vide order dated 23.10.2018, the appointment of Mr. Bhuwan Madan as the RP was confirmed by this Adjudicating Authority.

3. During the CIRP of the Corporate Debtor, the Committee of Creditors (in short "COC") received the resolution plans in pursuance of the publication of Form-G dated 14.08.2019 and 28.02.2019 respectively. The plans were discussed on 13.11.2019 in the 11th COC meeting wherein the COC rejected both the plans and passed a resolution to liquidate the Corporate Debtor.



- 4. The Suspended Management of the Corporate Debtor had filed IA No. 701 of 2019 before this Adjudicating Authority requesting therein to quash and set aside the proceeding and minutes of the meetings of the COC relating to 9th, 10th and 11th COC's meeting on the ground that they were not served with the notices of those meetings. This Adjudicating Authority vide order dated 22.09.2020 rejected that application. The Suspended Management filed an appeal before the Hon'ble NCLAT. Hon'ble NCLAT vide order dated 08.04.2020 allowed the appeal and quashed the proceedings of 9th, 10th, and 11th COC meetings. Later on, Mr. Prashant Jain was appointed as the RP by replacing Mr. Bhuwan Madan and this Adjudicating Authority vide order dated 04.05.2021 in IA No. 306 of 2021 approved the replacement of the RP.
- 5. On 26.03.2018 the C.B.I. has registered an FIR, bearing no. 0292018A0006 against the Managing Director and Joint Managing Director of the Corporate Debtor and also against several public servants under various provisions of the Indian Penal Code and Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 1988 because it was noticed that consortium of eleven Banks were cheated to the tune of Rs. 2654.40 Crores by the Suspended Management of the Corporate Debtor. On the basis of that F.I.R, the Enforcement Directorate (in short "ED") registered the case, bearing case ECIR/AMZO/03/2018 and attached the assets of the Corporate Debtor and its sister concern. That attachment was confirmed by the Adjudicating Authority under the PMLA-New Delhi Bench in original complaint no. 977 of 2018 vide order dated 01.10.2018. The Resolution Professional preferred an appeal before Hon'ble Appellate Authority under the PMLA and Appellate Tribunal vide order dated 08.06.2019 quashed and set aside the order of attachment of the

assets of the Corporate Debtor. Against that order, Enforcement Directorate filed an appeal before the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court. On 02.12.2020, the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court again confirmed the attachment. Against that order, the RP filed Special Leave Petition, bearing no. SLP(C) No.12468 of 2021 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The Hon'ble Supreme Court passed interim order directing to maintain *status-quo* relating to the attachment of the assets of the Corporate Debtor and that proceeding is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

- 6. On 07.07.2021, the COC held 14th meeting and passed a resolution to request this Adjudicating Authority to exclude 187 days from the CIRP period because of the pendency of various litigations above and in the same meeting the COC resolved to publish Form-G. Following that the RP published Form-G on 18.08.2021 thereby calling again the resolution plans from the prospective resolution applicants. The last date of submission of the resolution plan was extended upto 10.09.2021.
- 7. On 07.11.2021, the CIRP period for 60 days was extended considering the fact that in pursuance to the publication of Form-G, one M/s. GSEC Ltd. has submitted a resolution plan and it was then pending for consideration of the COC. On 04.01.2022, the RP again moved this Adjudicating Authority to exclude 35 days from the CIRP period on the ground that the COC was yet to take a decision on the plan submitted by the resolution applicant. This adjudicating Authority directed the RP to complete the entire process within 14 days. Against that order, the RP filed an appeal before the Hon'ble NCLAT and the Hon'ble NCLAT vide order dated 18.01.2022 in



Company Appeal (AT) (Ins) No. 41 of 2022 granted the extension of 35 days as prayed by the RP.

- 8. The resolution plan submitted by M/s. GSEC Ltd. was discussed and deliberated by the COC in their 19th, 20th, 21st, and 22nd meetings, and in 23rd meeting held on 06.01.2022, the resolution plan submitted by the M/s. GSEC Ltd. in consortium with Mr. Rakesh Shah has been approved by the COC with 89.46% votes. The same plan has been submitted before us for our approval under Section 30(6) of the IBC, 2016. The liquidation value and fair value of the CD is reported at Rs. 364.53 crores and Rs. 587.76 crores respectively.
- 9. The resolution applicant-M/s. GSEC Ltd. in consortium with Mr. Rakesh Shah has proposed to pay a sum of Rs. 501.00 Crore against the total admitted claim. The details are as follows:

				(Rs. in Crores
Sr. No	Stakeholders	Claims Admitted	Proposed Payment as per Resolution Plan	Tenure (years) from Trigger Date
1	CIRP Cost (At Actuals)		20.00 or Actual CIRP Cost	Upfront on Trigger date
2	Workman and Employees Dues -other than Related Party Employees	4.79	2.40	Upfront on Trigger date
3	Related Party Employees	NA	Nil	NA
4	Operational Creditors – Including Statutory Dues	900.74	5.00	Upfront on Trigger date
5	Secured	2372.87	42.60*(Note	Upfront



	Financial Creditors In addition to the Cash (Upfront + deferred) payment offered to Secured financial creditors,		1)	Payment-as per point no. 3.4.1(5)
	Resolution applicant proposes additional shares in CD as below:		431.00	Deferred Payment-as per
	Equity shares of face value of Rs. 10 of corporate debtor which will be held by Secured Financial creditors post capital reduction.		New Equity shares 21,92,112*	3.5.1 Within 5 years
			(Note 2)	
6	Related party	28.07	Nil	NA NA
8	Other Claims Equity shares of face value of Rs. 10 of corporate debtor which will be held by Existing Shareholders other than Secured Financial creditors post capital reduction.	2.41	Nil New Equity shares 5,04,994** (Note 2)	NA Upfront on Trigger date
	Total Resolution	20	501.00	



	Plan Amount offered to Various Class of Creditors	Crore ***	
9	Start up Pending Capex to be contributed/arra nged by the Resolution Applicant in the Corporate Debtor	150.00	As and when Required
10	Working Capital to be contributed/arra nged by the Resolution Applicant in the Corporate Debtor	150.00	As per the requirement of Busines
	Total Fund Outlay in the Resolution Plan + Startup and Working Capital Cost \$ Plus Bonds equaling Rs 1900.00 cr	801.00 Crore ****	

- *** In addition to the amount proposed in the Resolution Plan for Rs 501Croe (Rupees Five Hundred one Crore), Resolution Applicant proposes to issue unsecured redeemable bonds maturing at the end of 30 years aggregating Rs. 1900.00 Crores (Rupees Nineteen Hundred Crores only), carrying coupon rate @ 0.001%
- * Note-1- Amount is inclusive of Rs. 25.64 crores NPV being offered as consideration for redemption of bonds on trigger date
- ** Note-2- Equity Shares that post capital reduction will be held by secured financial creditors and existing shareholders other than secured financial creditors as mentioned hereinabove.
- 10. In the resolution plan, the resolution applicant claimed various reliefs and concessions relating to the applicable provisions of the Security Exchange Board of India, Mumbai Stock Exchange,



and National Stock Exchange without levying any fees, penalties etc. The relief is also claimed relating to the release of attachment by SFIO, CBI, Income Tax Department, Stock Exchanges etc. The relief is also claimed relating to some litigations pending before the Labour Court. Relief is also sought relating to the GST Credit during CIRP and also relating to certain pending investigations.

- 11. It is categorically stated in the resolution plan that if the resolution plan is approved by this Adjudicating Authority then COC and resolution applicant will jointly work together to get release the attachment of ED. The resolution applicant will make an application to the Hon'ble Supreme Court requesting therein to allow him to take part in the hearing of SLP(C) 12468 of 2021 obviously subject to the approval of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. It is clearly stated by the resolution applicant that the resolution plan is submitted under the assumption that it will get unobstructed possession of the assets of the Corporate Debtor.
- 12. On 22.02.2022 this Adjudicating Authority issued notice to the Suspended Management and the Income Tax Department. In response thereto the Income Tax Department on 02.03.2022 filed its report that the Income Tax Department had certain demands against the Corporate Debtor pending since 2009 till the date. It has to be held that the Income Tax Department, being an Operational Creditor must have submitted its claim to the IRP. Provision is made in the plan for payment of a certain sum of money to the operational creditor.
- 13. The resolution plan submitted before us has been examined in view of the provisions of Section 30(2) of the IBC, 2016. The plan

amount is more than the liquidation value of the assets of the Corporate Debtor. In the plan, the provision for payment towards CIRP costs, payment towards workmen and employees, and payment towards claim submitted by the Operational Creditor has been made. Thereby, the provision of Section 30(2)(a) has been complied with. It is also seen from the material on record that the State Bank of India, having 8.33% voting share objected to the approval of the resolution plan. The State Bank of India appears to be dissenting Financial Creditor. However, we note that in the plan equal treatment is given in payment of the claim submitted by the State Bank of India though it is a dissenting Financial Creditor. The State Bank of India has not filed any objection before this Adjudication Authority against the approval of the resolution plan. We hold that provisions of Section 30(2)(b) have been complied with.

- 14. The mechanism for management and control of the affairs of the Corporate Debtor after approval of the resolution plan has been provided in the resolution plan itself whereby the Monitoring Committee along with the Resolution Professional will look after the management of the Corporate Debtor after approval of the resolution plan and till plan being implemented fully. We hold that thereby provisions of Sections 30(5) and 30(2)(c) have been complied with.
- 15. Section 30(2)(d) speaks about the implementation and supervision of the resolution plan whereas Section 30(2)(e) speaks about whether the plan contravenes any provisions of law for the time being in force. The RP has certified that plan does not contravene any provisions of law. We also do not find any condition set out by the resolution applicant in the resolution plan which is against the provisions of law. But one question we have to consider

very seriously that the assets of the Corporate Debtor are attached by the ED and that proceeding is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. It appears to us that the resolution applicant was aware of this fact that assets of the Corporate Debtor are attached by the ED and despite that it has submitted the plan. The statement made in the plan stating that the successful resolution applicant will appear in the SLP pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and would show that the attachment is illegal. Be that as it may, that controversy is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and we have nothing to say about it. Suffice to say that in spite of the fact that litigation relating to assets of the Corporate Debtor is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the resolution applicant has submitted the resolution plan of approval being fully aware of this fact. We do not see any reason per se to hold that plan is against provisions of law. We have to consider whether the plan could be implemented because the assets are under the attachment of ED. It is seen from the evidence on record that the resolution applicant is ready to make payment to the extent of Rs. 501.00 Crores that within a maximum period in between six months to sixty months and the COC in their commercial wisdom has approved this plan. If the resolution applicant fails to implement the plan then certainly prejudicially affected person will invoke provisions of Section 33(3) of IBC, 2016 which state that "where the plan approved by the Adjudicating Authority is resolution contravened by concerned Corporate Debtor, any person other than the Corporate Debtor, whose interests are prejudicially affected by such contravention, may make an application to the Adjudicating Authority for a liquidation order as referred to in sub-clause (i), (ii) and (iii) of Clause (b) of sub-section (1). In short, the resolution applicant has to comply the agreed payment schedule to the various



creditors of the Corporate Debtor as stated in the plan. The resolution applicant submitted the resolution plan, being fully aware that assets of the Corporate Debtor are attached by the ED and the proceeding is still pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. The resolution applicant is carrying the risk that in case of his failure in implementing the resolution plan, he would face consequences under Section 33(3) and Section 30(4) of the IBC, 2016 including forfeiture of EMD. The plan does not per se contravene any provisions of law as stated above. The plan is in compliance of the provisions stated in Regulations 38 and 39 of IBBI(CIRP) Regulations, 2016.

- 16. As far as reliefs and concessions claimed by the resolution applicant, the law has been well settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the case of Ghanashyam Mishra and Sons Private Limited Vs. Edelweiss Asset Reconstruction Company Limited and Ors. reported in MANU/SC/0273/2021 in the following words:
 - (i) "The legislative intent behind this is, to freeze all the claims so that the resolution applicant starts on a clean slate and is not flung with any surprise claims. If that is permitted, the very calculations on the basis of which the resolution applicant submits its plans, would go haywire and the plan would be unworkable.
 - (ii) We have no hesitation to say, that the word "other stakeholders" would squarely cover the Central Government, any State Government or any local authorities. The legislature, noticing that on account of obvious omission, certain tax authorities were not abiding by the mandate of



I&B Code and continuing with the proceedings, has brought out the 2019 amendment so as to cure the said mischief..."

- 17. In view of the above, all past claims would stand extinguished. However, as far as various statutory rights vested with the Corporate Debtor in form of various licenses, leases, and others alike matter, we make it clear that the successful resolution applicant has to approach the concerned statutory authority for those concessions and those authorities will consider the same as per their established procedure.
- 18. With these directions, we approve the resolution plan submitted by M/s. GSEC in consortium with one Mr. Rakesh Shah and proceed to pass the following orders:

ORDER

- (i) Application is allowed.
- (ii) The resolution plan of M/s. GSEC Ltd. for Corporate Debtor i.e., M/s. Diamond Power Infrastructure Ltd. stands allowed as per Section 30(6) of the IBC, 2016.
- (iii) The approved 'Resolution Plan' shall become effective from the date of passing of this order.
- (iv) The order of moratorium dated 24.08.2018 passed by this Adjudicating Authority under Section 14 of I&B Code, 2016 shall cease to have effect from the date of passing of this order.
- (v) The Resolution Professional shall forthwith send a copy of this Order to the participants and the Resolution Applicant(s).



- (vi) The Resolution Professional shall forward all records relating to the conduct of the corporate insolvency resolution process and Resolution Plan to the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India to be recorded in its database.
- (vii) Accordingly, IA No. 160 of 2022 in CP(IB) 137 of 2018 is allowed and stands disposed of in terms of the above directions.
- (viii) Urgent certified copy of this order, if applied for, to be issued to all concerned parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

-SD-

Kaushalendra Kumar Singh Member (Technical) -SD-

Madan B. Gosavi Member (Judicial)

Rajeev Kr. Sen/Stenographer



Deputy Registrar
NCLT, Ahmedabad Bench
Ahmedabad



राष्ट्रीय कम्पनी विधि अपील अधिकरण

National Company Law Appellate Tribunal







Tribunal

Your Case is Successfully filed !!

Case Title :

Directorate of Enforcement Vs. Diamond Power Infrastructure

Limited

Case Type :

Appeal(AT)(Ins)

Act: IBC 2016

Directorate of

Appellant Name : Enforcement

Filing No: 9910110/ 05151/ 2022

Date of Filing: 12-08-2022

Bharatkosh Id: 1208220021702

Amount: 5000

*e-filing will be complete only after Successful Payment on Bharatkosh and Verification of Receipt by NCLAT



30 Harri

TRUE COPY