

Date: December 17, 2024

BSE Limited

Listing & Compliance Department
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers,
Dalal Street, Fort
Mumbai - 400001.
Scrip: 514418

The National Stock Exchange of India Limited

Listing & Compliance Department
Exchange Plaza, C-1 Block G,
Bandra Kurla Complex,
Bandra (E), Mumbai - 400051
Symbol: MANORG

Dear Sir/Madam,

Sub: Intimation under Regulation 30 of SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ('SEBI Listing Regulations')

Ref: Company intimation dated July 30, 2024 on disclosure of continuing events/information under Regulation 30 of the SEBI Listing Regulations

In continuation of our letter dated July 30, 2024, we would like to inform you that the Bombay High Court on Monday, December 16, 2024, passed an order in respect of Interim Application (L) No.26085 of 2024 in Commercial Appeal (ST) No.26060 of 2024 for trademark infringement suit against the Patanjali Ayurved Ltd.

In terms of SEBI/HO/CFD/PoD2/CIR/P/2023/120 dated July 11, 2023 read with Regulation 30 of the (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015, the information required is given in the '**Annexure - I**'.

This intimation is also being uploaded on the website of the Company at www.mangalamorganics.com

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,
For Mangalam Organics Limited

Charmi Shah
Company Secretary & Compliance Officer

Annexure - I

Sr. No.	Particulars	Information
1.	Name of the Opposing Party,	Patanjali Ayurved Ltd
2.	Court/ Tribunal/ Agency where Litigation is filed	Bombay High Court of Judicature at Bombay Ordinary Original Civil Jurisdiction
3.	The details of any change in the status and/or any development in relation to such proceedings:	<p>The appellants challenged the order dated 29th July 2024, passed by the learned Single Judge, which found that the defendant had willfully disobeyed an ex-parte ad interim order dated 30th August 2023. The Single Judge had directed the defendant to make a payment of Rs.50 lakhs to purge contempt, and an additional penalty of Rs.4 crores to the plaintiff, with failure leading to detention of the defendant's representative in civil prison for two weeks.</p> <p>After hearing both parties, the Court found that the appellants had made a strong prima facie case for staying the imposition of the Rs.4 crore penalty. The Court noted that while Order XXXIX Rule 2A of the Code of Civil Procedure allows for consequences like property attachment and civil detention, it does not support imposing a penalty in addition to these measures.</p> <p>The Court stayed the operation of the order to pay Rs.4 crores, but the Rs.50 lakhs already deposited by the appellants shall remain with the Prothonotary and Senior Master in a fixed deposit, subject to the final adjudication of the appeal.</p> <p>The Court clarified that the pendency of the appeal does not stay the proceedings before the learned Single Judge, which can continue on merits.</p>

		The Interim Application is disposed of accordingly.
4.	In the case of litigation against key management personnel or its promoter or ultimate person in control, regularly provide details of any change in the status and /or any development in relation to such proceedings:	Not Applicable.
5.	In the event of settlement of the proceedings, details of such settlement including - terms of the settlement, compensation/ penalty paid (if any) and impact of such settlement on the financial position of the listed entity:	Not Applicable.
6.	Impact:	There is no impact on financial position, operations or activities of the Company.