



August 14, 2023

To,

National Stock Exchange of India Ltd. Exchange Plaza Bldg. 5 th Floor, Plot No.C-1 'G' Block, Near Wockhardt, Bandra Kurla Complex Mumbai 400 051 Fax:26598237/38 Symbol: DCW	BSE Limited Department of Corporate Services, 1 st floor, New Trading Ring Rotunda Building, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Mumbai - 400 001 Fax: 22723121/3719/2037/2039 Scrip Code: 500117
--	---

Sub: Disclosure of details of pending litigation(s) as required under Regulation 30 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Dear Sir(s)/Madam,

Pursuant to the amendments to the SEBI (Listing Obligations & Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 ("LODR") dated June 14 2023, which came into effect from July 14 2023 read with SEBI Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated July 13, 2023, we are required to disclose "*Pendency of any litigation(s) or dispute(s) or the outcome thereof which may have an impact on the listed entity*" including any continuing event or information which becomes material pursuant to notification of the amendment within thirty days from the effective date of the said amendment.

Accordingly, please find enclosed herewith disclosure as required under Regulation 30 Para B of Part A of Schedule III of the LODR read with SEBI Circular No. SEBI/HO/CFD/CFD-PoD-1/P/CIR/2023/123 dated July 13, 2023 as "**Annexure I**".

The said information is also being made available on the website of the Company at www.dcwlimited.com.

Kindly take the above information on your record

Thanking You,

Yours faithfully,

For DCW Limited



Dilip Darji

Sr. General Manager (Legal) & Company Secretary
Membership No. ACS-22527

Encl: A/a

DCW LIMITED

HEAD OFFICE :

"NIRMAL" 3RD FLOOR, NARIMAN POINT, MUMBAI-400 021.

TEL.: 2287 1914, 2287 1916, 2202 0743 TELEFAX: 22 2202 8838

REGISTERED OFFICE : DHRANGADHRA - 363 315 (GUJRAT STATE)

Email: ho@dcwlimited.com, Website: www.dcwlimited.com, CIN-L24110GJ1939PLC000748

Annexure – I

Disclosures under Regulation 30 of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

DCW Ltd. is making the following disclosures pursuant to the amendment to Regulation 30 under the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) (Second Amendment) Regulations, 2023 w.e.f.15.07.2023. Many of the following cases have already been disclosed in past Annual Reports and are once again being disclosed pursuant to Regulation 30(4)(i)(c) of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name(s) of the opposing party	(1) State of Tamil Nadu; (2) Superintending Engineer; (3) The Chief Engineer; (4) EXE. ENG. PWD., WRO Tamaraparani Basin Circle, Tirunelveli.
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Hon'ble Madras High Court
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Writ Petition No. 37936 of 2002 filed by DCW before the Hon'ble Madras High Court, challenging demand dt. 06.02.2002 by the Tamil Nadu Government, as affirmed and enhanced by the communication dated 02.09.2002, for water charges on the basis of maximum allotted quantity instead of the estimated quantity as per agreement.
	Stage of Proceedings	Order dt.25.02.2005 passed by the Hon'ble Madras High Court in WP MP No. 6662 of 2005 granting interim stay. Order dt. 08.07.2022 passed by the Hon'ble Madras High Court in the Writ Petition directing that the matter be posted along with WA.NO. 405 of 2006 etc. batch after getting necessary order from the Chief Justice.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial	The initial demand by the Chief Engineer was for Rs. 1,49,33,610/- on 06.02.2002 and, thereafter,



	implications, if any	<p>enhanced to Rs. 2,22,21,843/- on 02.09.2002. Vide letter dt.17.02.2005, this demand was revised to Rs. 6,60,62,000/-.</p> <p>Thereafter, vide letter in August 2022, the demand was further revised to Rs. 26 crores, which pertains to the period from 1971 until the present date.</p> <p>However, the interim order is still in force. There are no arrears as the water charges are paid in advance on the estimated quantity in terms of the agreement.</p>
2.	Name(s) of the opposing party	Electrical Inspector, Thoothukudi
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Secretary to the Government, Energy Dept., State of Tamil Nadu.
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Appeal No. __ of 2021 [Appeal No. not issued] by DCW against the Order of the Electrical Inspector, Thoothukudi dated 21.05.2012 passed under S.9 of the Tamil Nadu Tax on Consumption or Sale of Electricity Act, 2003 alleging that electricity tax has not been paid for electricity sold to PTC and seeking arrears of the e-tax @5% on charges collected for such sales for the period April 2009 to May 2011. However, the agreements between DCW and PTC show that sale of power is only to TNEB/TANGEDCO and not to PTC which is only a licensed intermediary, therefore it is automatically exempt from taxation under Section 3(1)(b) of the Tamil Nadu Tax on Consumption of or Sale of Electricity Act, 2003.
	Stage of Proceedings	Hearing is awaited.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	Rs. 9,92,89,000/-
3.	Name(s) of the opposing	(1) Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution



	party	Corporation Limited; (2) The Director of Electricity Tax; and (3) The Electrical Inspector
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Secretary to the Government of Tamil Nadu Energy Department
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Appeal No. ____ of 2021 [No Appeal No. issued] before the Secretary to the Govt. of Tamil Nadu, Energy Department on behalf of DCW Ltd. seeking to quash the demand notice dated 02.09.2014 by Electrical Inspectorate, Government of Tamil Nadu, levying electricity tax on DCW under the Tamil Nadu Tax on Consumption or Sale of Electricity (Amendment) Act 2007.
	Stage of Proceedings	Hearing is awaited.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	Demand of Rs. 20,26,72,285/- with interest of Rs. 15,41,98,603/- was for the period 16.6.2003 to 31.5.2012 In terms of the interim order dated 25.09.2014 in Writ Petition No. 26425 of 2014, the Petitioner deposited Rs. 6,40,23,714/- as Electricity (Consumption) Tax paid on 5 th January 2015. DCW has been making payment from April 2014 onwards. The matter is connected to the SLP (C) No. 31420 of 2012 filed by DCW before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India challenging the Order dated 15.06.2012 in WP No. 18902 of 2012 passed by Hon'ble Madras High Court upholding the constitutional validity of the Tamil Nadu Tax on Consumption or Sale of Electricity (Amendment) Act 2007.
4.	Name(s) of the opposing party	(1) The State of Tamil Nadu;



		(2) The Tamil Nadu Electricity Board Chairman; and (3) The Chief Electrical Inspector
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Special Leave Petition (C) No. 31420 of 2012 filed by DCW challenging the Order dated 15.06.2012 in WP No. 18902 of 2012 passed by Hon'ble Madras High Court upholding the constitutional validity of the Tamil Nadu Tax on Consumption or Sale of Electricity (Amendment) Act 2007.
	Stage of Proceedings	a) Order passed tagging it with other cases before the Supreme Court. b) Order dt.23.11.2012 restraining the Respondent from taking any coercive steps for disconnecting supply of electricity to DCW's premises, subject to the DCW paying all the charges/dues, except the tax calculated on the basis of maximum demand.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	Same as Sr.no.3 above, as the matters are connected.
5.	Name(s) of the opposing party	(1) Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corp. Ltd.; (2) Superintending Engg., Tuticorin; (3) Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Madurai Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Writ Petition (MD) No.1054 of 2020 filed by DCW



		challenging the Order of Tamil Nadu Electricity Regulatory Commission in dt.13.12.2019 demanding payment of Rs. 10,02,09,677/- from DCW towards parallel operations charges w.e.f. May 2014 until November 2019 on net capacity, even though DCW is a captive generating plant paying demand charges under contract for HT connection.
	Stage of Proceedings	<p>a) Order dated 21.01.2020 in WMP(MD)/853/2020 granting DCW an interim stay of the impugned Order dt.13.12.2019 and posting the matter along with WP(MD)No.12760 of 2019 (similar case of M/s. Chettinad Cement).</p> <p>b) Order dt. 18.04.2023 in WP(MD) No.1045 of 2020 passed by the Hon'ble Madras High Court following the Madras High Court batch Order in W.P. No.2411 of 2019, etc., disposing of the WP and the connected petitions, and transferring it to the file of the APTEL, New Delhi, to be heard along with Appeal Nos.162 – 165, 173, 233, 234, 276, 310, 323, 324, 325, 328, 395, 434, 2410, 214 & 215 of 2019 & 82 of 2020. Further, it was left open to DCW to raise all grounds raised in the WP before the APTEL. Further, the Court directed that till the appeals are disposed of by the APTEL, the interim order that has already been granted by this Court shall continue.</p>
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	Rs. 10,02,09,677/- for the period May 2014 until November 2019. Vide letter dt.02.01.2020, Superintending Engg., Tuticorin demanded a further Rs. 15,00,000/- to be included in 12/2019 CC bill onwards.
6.	Name(s) of the opposing party	Commissioner of Customs, Tuticorin
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Hon'ble CESTAT, Chennai



	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Appeal No. 42331 of 2014 by DCW before CESTAT, Chennai against Order in Original No.40/2014 dt. 31.07.2014 passed by the Commissioner of Customs, Tuticorin rejecting classification of the coal imported by DCW as Steam Coal, reclassifying it as Bituminous coal and denying the benefit of Customs Notification which gives a concessional rate for steam coal.
	Stage of Proceedings	N/A
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	a. Differential duty: Rs.12,43,76,921 a/w interest (out of which 93 lakhs have been paid as deposit at the time of filing); b. Penalty on importer: Rs.13,00,00,000; c. Penalty on DCW VP: Rs.1,00,000; d. Redemption fine: Rs.13 crores.
7.	Name(s) of the opposing party	State Tax Officer, Tiruchendur
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Madurai Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Writ Petition (MD) No.10163 to No.10166 of 2018 challenging Order dt.28.03.2018 of the State Tax Officer, Tiruchendur with respect to VAT for the assessment years 2010 – 2014. The Tamil Nadu VAT Department had carried out a survey at DCW's Sahapuram Plant and raised demand of 2732.14 Lakhs observing the difference in amount in respect of export sales reported in VAT returns and audited accounts. The company has filed this writ petition before the Honourable Madras High Court challenging the observations made in the Survey report and the same is pending for hearing.



	Stage of Proceedings	No orders passed.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	Rs. 27,32,14,000 (incl. interest)
8.	Name(s) of the opposing party	Office of the Commissioner of Customs, Tuticorin
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Commissioner of Customs, Tuticorin
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	<p>Various Show Cause Notices have been issued to DCW by the Office of the Commissioner of Customs, in connection with Ilmenite exports for the various periods beginning from June, 2013 to June, 2022, asking DCW to show cause as to why export duty under Chapter 26 of the Customs Tariff Act, 1975 should not be demanded/recovered with interest with respect to the Upgraded Beneficiated Ilmenite-Synthetic Rutile (TiO₂ 95%).</p> <p>As at 26th August 2022, the duty liability is Rs.53,98,77,137/- (Previous year - Rs.44,93,85,000/-). DCW has got a favourable order from the CESTAT, Chennai in some of the shipments made by DCW, relating to the earlier period.</p>
	Stage of Proceedings	Hearings are awaited with respect to all Show Cause Notices.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	Rs. 53,98,77,137/-
9.	Name(s) of the opposing party	(1) State of Gujarat (2) The Collector, Surendranagar
	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India



	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Special Leave Petition Civil Application No. 10935 of 2013 filed by DCW challenging the Order dt.02.11.2012 passed by the Hon'ble Gujarat High Court in Second Appeal No.48 of 1990 with respect to the Salt Works land situated in Kuda, Gujarat. The Hon'ble Gujarat High Court has held that the land was not let permanently to DCW under the lease agreement dated 29.01.1939 entered into between DCW and the Government of the Maharaja of the erstwhile State of Dhrangadhara, and the lease was therefore terminable at the option of the Lessor.
	Stage of Proceedings	a) Order dt.14.12.2012 passed by the Supreme Court directing the parties to maintain status quo with regard to the leasehold properties. b) Order dt.22.11.2013 passed by the Supreme Court directing that the interim order will continue till final disposal of the appeal. Further, it was clarified that as far as the royalty and other charges are concerned, it would be open to the respondent State to revise them in accordance with law.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	N/A
10.	Name(s) of the opposing party	(1) The Government of Tamil Nadu, (2) The Principal Secretary and Commissioner of Land Administration, (3) The District Collector, Collectorate, Tuticorin District, (4) The District Revenue Officer, Tuticorin District, And (5) The Tahsildar, Taluk Office, Tiruchendur



	Court/Tribunal/Agency where litigation is filed	Before the Madurai Bench of the Hon'ble High Court of Madras
	Brief details of dispute/litigation	Writ Petition (MD) No.11192 of 2017 challenging and seeking to stay the operation of G.O. Ms No. 85 dt. 31.03.2017 issued by the Government of Tamil Nadu rejecting DCW's request for the assignment of lands as per G.O. Ms No.76 dt. 07.01.1959, and seeking to collect the arrears of lease amount from 1989 to 2017 along with 12% interest and seeking to resume an extent of 793.39 acres of lands in Punnakayl, Serathamangalam and Kayalpattinam villages of Tiruchendur Taluka, Thoothukudi District from DCW Limited.
	Stage of Proceedings	Order dt. 16.06.2017 passed by the Hon'ble Madras High Court (Madurai Bench) in WMP (MD) No.8584 of 2017 in WP (MD) No.11192 of 2017, in favour of DCW granting interim stay of operation of G.O. (Ms) No. 85 dt. 31.03.2017 by the Revenue Department, Land Disposal Wing LD-6(1) Section.
	Quantum of claims / Expected financial implications, if any	N/A

