

SCANDENT IMAGING LIMITED

CIN:L93000MH1994PLC080842

Regd Address: Plot No. A-357, Road No. 26, Wagle Industrial Estate, MIDC, Thane (West), Maharashtra -400604
Tel No.022 25825205; Email: csscandent@gmail.com; Web: www.scandent.in

September 29, 2021

To
BSE Limited
Department of Corporate Services
Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers,
Dalal Street, Mumbai 400 001

Ref : BSE Code: 516110

Respected Sir/Madam,

Sub: Disclosure of material event under Regulation 30 of SEBI (LODR), 2015.

Please find enclosed the order from Small Causes Court, Bombay which is self explanatory in the matter of our hospital at Mahim, Mumbai.

We are taking legal steps in the best interest of the Company.

Kindly take the above information on record.

Thanking you,
Yours faithfully

For Scandent Imaging Limited

Sd/-
Sheetal Musale
Company Secretary & Compliance Officer

Encl : As above

CNRNO:MHSCA20014312021

**IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES AT BOMBAY
ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT-20
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2021
IN
L.E. & C. SUIT NO.58 OF 2019**

HEMANT SHANTARAM PIKALE .. Plaintiffs.
V/S.
SCANDENT IMAGING LTD. .. Defendants.

Shri. D.C. Mehta, Advocate for Plaintiff.
Ganesh & Co. Advocate for defendant.

Coram :- Y. B. Game, Judge
Court room No. 8
Dt. 27.09.2021

ORDER

1. Perused the applications and say filed. The defendant/non applicant is seeking stay of order passed by the court in Execution Proceeding directed the defendant to maintain status-quo with further directions of not to create third party interest on asset and property of the defendant company.
2. It is stated that the order if not stayed would hamper the business of hospital activities and would cause irreparable loss.
3. The plaintiff objected the application stated that the court has not passed the order which is executable one, it directs only to maintain status-quo, it is not executable one therefore cannot be stayed, it is stated that the application is

allowed purpose of status-quo would be frustrated.

4. The court has passed the status-quo order, the defendant company is arranged its Annual General Meeting having subject on agenda for creating the charge on assets of the defendant company, the Annual General Meeting is scheduled on 30.9.2021, in such circumstances the court has passed the order. Hence, the prayer to stay the order cannot be considered accordingly the applications stands rejected.

Date:27.09.2021

Order dictated on :- 27.09.2021
Order transcribed on :- 27.09.2021
Order Checked & signed on :- 28.09.2021

(Y.B. Game)
Judge
C. R. No. 8

(Y.B.Game)
Judge
C. R. No. 8

CNRNO:MHSCA20014312021

**IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES AT BOMBAY
ORDER BELOW EXHIBIT-1**

IN

EXEECUTION APPLICATION NO. 209 OF 2021

IN

L.E. & C. SUIT NO.58 OF 2019

HEMANT SHANTARAM PIKALE .. Plaintiff.
V/S.
SCANDENT IMAGING LTD. .. Defendants.

Shri. D.C. Mehta, Advocate for Plaintiff.
Ganesh & Co. Advocate for defendant.

Coram :- Y. B. Game, Judge
Court room No. 8
Dt. 27.09.2021

ORDER

1. The applicant, plaintiff in suit No. L.E & C suit No.58 of 2009 filed the execution proceeding praying for execution of order passed below Exh-12 with regards to direct the defendant to pay and the deposit the arrears of license fees and compensation total amounting Rs.3,86,75,388/- (Rupees Three Crore Eighty Six Lacks Seventy Five Thousand Three Hundred Eighty Eight only) as per the order 15 (a) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908. It is stated that the Hon'ble Appellate Bench of the Small Causes Court, Mumbai has not stayed the order therefore, decree passed against the defendant for payment of money is liable to be executed.

2. The defendant, non applicant has filed the application at Exh-12 raising the maintainability of the execution application

on several grounds. The both parties are heard on this application and the said application has been fixed for the order on 04.10.2019.

3. The Ld. Advocate for the plaintiff submitted that the defendant company is arranged the Annual General Meeting of the company on 30.9.2021, listed the subject on Agenda to raise the money by creating charge on assets of the company. The notice of the meeting with Agenda is produced by the plaintiff alongwith his say at Exh-17 filed on Exh-12.

4. The Ld. Advocate for the plaintiff submitted that the defendant should furnish security or they should not mortgage or alienate the assets of company to deprive the right of the plaintiff for execution of money decree. It is emphasized and submitted that the plaintiff would not able to execute the arrears of the license fees payable by the defendant under section Order 15 (a) of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908.

5. On the other hand the Ld. Advocate for the defendant company submitted that the application at Exh-12 filed by the defendant, challenging the maintainability of the execution. The prayer for interim relief submitted by the plaintiff side against the defendant for not to create charge on his property, on this aspects the defendant has not given an opportunity to argue, rather it is submitted that arguments were not advanced for any interim relief by the plaintiff at any time therefore, opportunity should be given to the side of the defendant to make submission on point of interim applications orally submitted by the plaintiff. It is further submitted by the

defendant, the defendant has also filed, the application to stay the execution, therefore in such circumstances the prayer of oral submissions for seeking the blanket order of issuing injunction not to create third party interest or mortgage the property should not be considered.

6. On considering the documents i.e. Notice of calling the Annual General Meeting of company and agenda of meeting annexed thereto, it is amplified that the defendant company in its Annual General Meeting which is scheduled on 30.09.2021, would act for creating the charge on the assets of the company to raise the money, it has not been stated to raise the money for making compliance of the order of court to deposit the arrears of license fees. I, therefore, found substance in submission made by the plaintiff to consider the case for passing the urgent Interim Order. Hence, I pass the following order:-

ORDER

The defendants is directed to maintain status-quo with further directions not to take steps for alienating the assets and property owned by the defendants company, said order is continue till next date.

Date:27.09.2021

Order dictated on :- 27.09.2021
Order transcribed on :- 27.09.2021
Order Checked & signed on :- 28.09.2021

(Y.B. Game)

Judge

C. R. No. 8

(Y.B.Game)

Judge

C. R. No. 8

