Sunil Goel

Promoter Shareholder - Omaxe Limited

Date: September 14, 2021

By Speed Post/ E-mail

To, Listing Department BSE Limited P. J. Tower, Dalal Street, Mumbai 400001

Listing Department National Stock Exchange of India Limited Exchange Plaza, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East) Mumbai-400051

Subject:

<u>Disclosure pursuant to Regulation 31(1) & 31(2) of SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares & Takeovers) Regulations, 2011</u>

Dear Sir/Madam,

With reference to the captioned subject, please find enclosed herewith the disclosure in respect of release of 33,00,000 equity shares of M/s Omaxe Limited held by Sunil Goel pledged in favor of M/s Indiabulls Housing Finance Limited in compliance to order dated August 16, 2021 passed by Hon'ble Division bench of High Court of Delhi in FAO (OS)(COMM) No. 105 of 2020 titled as Guild Builders Pvt. Ltd. V. Sunil Goel & Anr.

This is for your information & records.

Thanking You,

Sunil Goel
Promoter Shareholder
Omaxe Limited

Place: New Delhi

Date: September 14, 2021

Enclosure: Order dated 16.08.2021 passequery the Honrole Division bench of High Court of Delhi for

release of shares

Cc: M/s Omaxe Limited

Corporate Office: 7 Local Shopping Centre

Kalkaji, New Delhi -110019

DISCLOSURE

encumbrance/ release of encumbrance, in terms of Regulation 31(1) and 31(2) of SEBI (Substantial Acquisition of Shares and Takeovers) Regulations, 2011 Format for disclosure by the Promoter(s) to the stock exchanges and to the Target Company for encumbrance of shares / invocation of

Name of the Target Company(TC)	Omaxe Limited
Names of the Stock Exchanges where the shares of the target company are listed	BSE Limited (BSE) National Stock Exchange of India Limited (NSE)
Date of reporting	September 14, 2021
Name of the promoter or PAC on whose shares encumbrance has been created/released/invoked	

Hon'ble Division bench of High Court of Delhi in FAO (OS)(COMM) No. 105 of 2020 titled as Guild Builders Pvt. Ltd. V. Sunil Goel & Anr

NI N	NIL	Indiabulls Housing Finance	1.80	3300000 1.80	Release from Pledge	27-08-2021	Release	1.80	3300000 1.80	1.82	3336120	Sunil Goel
		ed										
		encumber			ers)	e	n)					
al		shares			undertaking/oth	encumbranc	invocatio					
-capit		favor	capital		non disposal	release of	/ release /	capital		capital		
share		in whose	share		(pledge/ lien/	invocation	(creation	share		share		
total	shares	the entity	total	shares	encumbrance	creation/	event	total	shares	total	shares	
% of	No. of % of	Name of	% of	No. of	Type of	Date of	Type of	% of	No. of	% of	No. of	
	[(2)-(3)]											
ation	/ invocation											
	(3)]											
F(2) -	release [(2)-						1					him(**)
)[[(2)+(3)]/											with
n	{creation								72			PACs
	shares										(1)	(s) or
pered	encumbered							ered (2)	encumbered (2)	mpany	target company	promoter
of	holding of							ady	already	n the	holding in the	the
ent	Post event		1ce (3)	encumbrai	Details of events pertaining to encumbrance (3)	Details of e		Burniou	Promoter notding	Promoter	Pro	Name of

Sunil Goel

Promoter Shareholder-Omaxe Limited
Date: September 14, 2021

Place: New Delhi

IN THE HIGH COURT OF DELHI AT NEW DELHI

+ FAO(OS) (COMM) 105/2020, CM APPL. 21955/2021 & CM APPL. 21662/2020

GUILD BUILDERS PRIVATE LIMITED

..... Appellant

Through:

Mr. Shalabh Singhal, Mr. Avneet

Soni and Mr. Yogendra S Bhaskar,

Advs.

versus

SUNIL GOEL & ANR.

..... Respondents

Through:

Mr. Raj Shekhar Rao, Sr. Adv. with

Mr. Rajesh P. Prashant Jain and Mr.

Arpit Dwivedi, Advs. for R-1. Mr. Ankit Banati, Adv. for R-2.

CORAM:

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPIN SANGHI HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASMEET SINGH

> ORDER 16.08.2021

%

1. After some arguments learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, states that the appellant is satisfied and if it is clarified by the Court that the release of the pledged shares of respondent No. 1, by respondent No. 2 has been done by respondent No.2 as its own commercial decision and at its own peril and so does not in any way impinge on the rights and defences available to appellant in respect of its pledge of its shares to respondent No.2. It is not in dispute that respondent No.2 released pledged shares of respondent No.1 of its own accord, and as its own commercial decision and therefore, release of the shares of respondent No.1 by respondent No.2 would not be to the prejudice of the appellant. Court

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed By:AMIT
ARORA
Signing Date:23.08.2021

cannot fasten any further liability to the appellant than what is permissible in law.

2. At this stage Mr. Banati who appears for respondent No.2 has sought to project as if, the release of the shares of respondent No.1 was done under orders of the Court, and was not voluntary act of respondent No.2. Some arguments were advanced on this aspect and Mr. Raj Shekhar Rao, learned senior counsel appearing for respondent No.1 has drawn our attention firstly, to an email communication dated 16.06.2020 issued by respondent No.2 to respondent No.1 which was issued in reference to various communications addressed by respondent No.1 to respondent No.2. It inter alia states - in respect of the three loans aggregating to Rs. 150 crores that "we hereby confirm that upon receipt of the payment towards full and final closure of following dues (as on date) to India bulls Housing Finance Limited, we would release the security associated with these loans." This is followed by Tabulation in respect of the outstanding amounts under the said three loans of Rs. 65 crores; Rs. 65 crores and Rs. 20 crores. The total amount pending in respect of the three loans was computed at Rs.8,91,364/-, apart from TDS of Rs. 71,09,067/-. Mr. Rao has also drawn our attention to the statement made on behalf of respondent No.2 before the Court on 18.06.2020 in O.M.P.(I)(COMM.) No. 142/2020. On the said date Mr. Sunil Dalal, learned Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 herein submitted that the principal amount stands discharged and that the respondent would be releasing the shares of the petitioner i.e. respondent No. 1 herein as well as his personal guarantee, within a period of 7 to 10 days. He also stated that the same would, however, not tantamount to settlement of accounts with the borrower.

3. Aforesaid being the position, it cannot be said that the release of the pledge on the shares of respondent No. 1 was not voluntary or that it was on account of any orders passed by the Court. There are no orders passed by any Court directing respondent No.2 to release the pledge on the shares made by respondent No.1. Even prior to the O.M.P.(I)(COMM.) No. 142/2020 being listed before the Court on 18.06.2020, respondent No.2 had, on its own, sent the email communication dated 16.06.2020 taken note of herein above. After the outstanding amounts in the three loan amounts were repaid, the respondent No.2, in fact, sent a WhatsApp message to Sh. Rajiv Gandhi on 25.06.2020 to the effect "unplegding done" "have already approved sir". This was in response to the message of respondent No.1 inter alia stating "waiting for unplegding sir".

5. At this stage, Mr. Banati states that instructions shall be issued to the depository to release the pledged shares into the account of respondent No.1 within the next ten days. With the aforesaid observation, the present appeal stands disposed of.

6. The interim orders passed in these proceedings stand vacated.

VIPIN SANGHI, J

JASMEET SINGH, J

AUGUST 16, 2021/sr

Signature Not Verified
Digitally Signed By:AMIT
ARORA
Signing Date:23.08.2021
21:33:52