



ARSS INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS LTD.

Date: 21.09.2021

Bombay Stock Exchange Limited, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers 1st Floor, Rotunda Building, Dalal Street, Mumbai- 400 001 <u>BSE Scrip Code - 533163</u>	National Stock Exchange of India Limited, Exchange Plaza, Plot No-C1, G Block Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (E), Mumbai-400 051 <u>NSE Symbol: ARSSINFRA</u>
--	---

Sub.: Newspaper Advertisement – Results for the Quarter Ended June 30, 2021

Dear Sir/Madam,

Please find enclosed herewith the newspaper advertisement for the financial results of ARSS Infrastructure Projects Limited for the quarter ended June 30, 2021 published in the following newspapers :

- Business Standard (In English language) (all India Editions)
- Odisha Bhaskar (In Odia language) (All Odisha Editions)

This is for your information and records.

Thanking You,

Yours Faithfully,

For ARSS Infrastructure Projects Limited


(Prakash Chhajjer)
Company Secretary
Compliance Officer



Encl. As Above

CIN : L14103OR2000PLC006230

Regd. Office : Sector A, Zone D, Plot #38, Mancheswar Industrial Estate, Bhubaneswar 751010, Odisha

Tel : 91 674 2602763 / 2588552 / 2588554, Fax : +91 674 2585074, E-mail: response@arssgroup.in, Website : www.arssgroup.in

Corp. Office : ARSS Mall, Community Centre, Plot No. 40, Block-A, Paschim Vihar, Opposit to Jwalaheri Market, New Delhi-110063 (India)

Tel.: +91 1125252024, Fax : +91 1125252012, E-mail : delhi@arssgroup.in

Pay versus performance

Why shareholders are angry at promoter-directors' remuneration

SUDIPTO DEY
New Delhi, 15 September

Earlier this month, Sobha Kapoor and Ekta Kapoor, part of the promoter group of Balaji Telefilms, faced shareholder ire when they failed to obtain the requisite votes on resolutions proposing pay increases for them. In recent months, several promoter-directors, including Siddharth Lal of Eicher Motors and Pawan Munjal of Hero MotoCorp, have faced similar situations. Clearly, large institutional shareholders — and public shareholders — are not taking kindly to promoter-directors upping their remuneration takeaways at a time when the prospects of business recovery are clouded by the anticipated third wave of the Covid-19 pandemic. The onus, say experts, is on the Nomination and Remuneration Committee (NRC) in the board of directors of a company to bring more transparency, objectivity and fairness in arriving at promoter-remuneration and hikes.



PAY SLIPS

Remuneration related resolutions

Period From (Oct 1st - Sept 30th)	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021 (till Sept 3)
TOTAL	335	304	506	303	296
DEFEATED	1	4	1	6	2
PASSED	334	300	505	297	294

There were many appointment and remuneration related resolutions that were flagged off by proxy advisory firms. Most of them sailed through riding on the back of higher promoter shareholding. Here are few recent resolutions that could not pass the muster with shareholders.

Issues facing companies

Company	Resolution Issue
Eicher Motors	Re-appointment of Siddhartha Lal as managing director and payment of remuneration
Gyent	Remuneration of non-executive directors
Manpasand Beverages	Waiver of excess managerial remuneration of whole-time directors
Orient Cement	Re-appointment and remuneration of executive directors
Poonawalla Finance	Payment of existing remuneration to vice-chairman and managing director
ISEG Heavy Engineering	Remuneration of managing director

Source: Stakeholders Empowerment Services

“There is a sense that promoters need to share in the pain in this Covid year. When growth in revenue and profits has slowed, or is negative, how can a disproportionate increase in remuneration only to promoters be justified?” asks Shiram Subramanian, founder and managing director, InGovern Research Services. The reason for shareholder angst is not hard to figure out. Aon India's Executive Compensation Study for 2021, released in April, suggests that promoter-CEOs in BSE 100 companies earn, on an average, 30 per cent more than professional CEOs. In India, the skew in remuneration between promoter-director and professional director could be as high as 20-30 times, J N Gupta, managing director, Stakeholders Empowerment Services, a proxy advisory firm, points out. Most experts feel the weakest link in bridging the remuneration gap between promoter-directors and professional directors is the NRC. “Performance evaluation by the NRC is a mere formality today, except for true-blooded companies,” says Prabal Basu Roy, director and advisor to chairman of corporate boards. Gupta describes them as “puppet” NRCs. “Often, the NRC does not apply its mind on matters related to promoter-director remuneration,” he adds. Board evaluation of directors' performance is largely an internal exercise with few companies using external professionals to support their evaluation effort. Experts point out that the

have taken the risk in investing and backing the promoter. “Compensation should be benchmarked to Total Shareholder Return,” says Subramanian. Not everyone agrees with this line of thinking. IAS believes that dividend declaration should not favour a specific class of shareholders, namely promoters or controlling shareholders, as that may impact the long-term interests of shareholders. Performance-driven agreements for promoter pay-outs are seen as a more viable option for fixing promoter remuneration. “Sebi could perhaps mandatorily require listed companies (with a turnover of above ₹500 crore) to adopt a Key Managerial Remuneration policy approved by the shareholders, and an independent expert. We have seen similar developments in the case of dividend declaration policy as well,” says Amit Agarwal, partner, Nangia & Co. But one thing experts agree on is that the NRC has to bring in more transparency in promoter-directors' performance appraisals. “It is important to establish and publish the principles for assessment to evaluate the effectiveness and contribution by each of the directors. While establishing this assessment, NRC should consider the relative performance of the organisation in comparison to a relevant peer group,” says Pothan Jacob, practice leader-executive compensation & governance at Aon India.

Building linkages between the relative performance of the organisation to the compensation of the directors can make the compensation proposal a lot more credible and acceptable to the shareholders, he adds. Experts point out that the mix of promoter remuneration pay-out vis-à-vis what promoters earn through dividend has a tax planning angle too. Typically, in the case of a company promoter earning more than ₹5 crore as annual income, dividends will be taxed at the peak rate of 42.74 per cent (30 per cent tax + 37 per cent surcharge + 4 per cent cess). In the hands of the company, however, the dividend pay-out is not tax deductible, so there are no inherent tax advantages for the company, except outflow of cash, and depletion of reserves. But the payment of promoter remuneration is completely tax deductible in the hands of the company. “Thus, an obvious tax planning follows, to the extent that there is a tax arbitrage in cases where a company opts for a promoter remuneration pay-out vis-à-vis dividend,” says Agarwal.

Accelerating the settlement cycle & challenges facing FPIs



SRIRAM KRISHNAN

About two decades back, one would have had to walk to the nearest taxi point to get a taxi to travel to a chosen destination. Today, an app brings the taxi to the customer's location. Technology has made this possible. Also, about two decades back, G N Bajpai, then chairman of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Sebi), had expressed a desire to implement the T+1 settlement cycle by April 2004. This was after India had gone live with T+3 effective April 2002. The regulators did move this further to T+2 effective April 2003, but the move to T+1 has unfortunately remained pending. Can technology help address the perceived challenges and make T+1 possible, too? On September 7, Sebi issued an interesting circular that lets the exchanges and investors decide whether they want to move to the T+1 model effective January 2022. Almost everyone agrees that an accelerated settlement cycle is a progressive step, will help reduce settlement risk and free up capital, effectively leading to higher volumes. Incidentally, the United States is also exploring a shift to the T+1 model. In the proposed model of Sebi, a stock exchange can offer a T+1 settlement cycle on any scrip, which then needs to be followed uniformly for all transactions, including block deals in that scrip. Domestic investors, including the retail segment, platform providers and tech-savvy brokers, seem quite delighted, as their capital can be deployed more effectively. Also, this

can help prevent misuse by the broker of the securities received in payout apart from reducing margin requirements. By crunching the time between trade execution and settlement, the risk of a counterparty failing during this time comes down, too. However, foreign portfolio investors (FPIs) don't seem to be happy. With a return of over 50 per cent in the past 12 months, India has been a top performing market. No wonder then that the equity assets of FPIs have touched a record \$651 billion at the end of August 2021, which is almost one-fifth of India's market capitalisation of about \$3.5 trillion. It is, therefore, important to ensure that any such transition to the T+1 model doesn't end up disturbing the FPIs. The first challenge here stems from the fact that currently, FPIs send out the details of the trade done on T day to their global custodian (GC) after India trading hours, which the GC relays to the India custodian during the night. Post-reconciliation with the broker contract note, the India custodian confirms these trades with the Clearing Corporation by 1 pm on T+1 day. In the T+1 model, such confirmation would perhaps need to be completed on T day itself, within a few hours of the close of market hours, which can be challenging. In case of a mismatch, the India custodian may not be able to confirm the trade, and the resultant hand delivery can put pressure on the broker who needs to arrange for funds overnight to complete the pay-in process the next morning. During certain times of the year, e.g. MSCI rebalancing, trade volumes tend to shoot up sharply, and so could such mismatches. The second challenge is that FPIs have to pre-fund in the T+1 model. In the current T+2 structure, on the morning of T+1 day, when FX markets are active, the

FPIs book FX deals to buy the Indian rupee (INR) to fund the trades of T day by selling foreign currency. The exact value of US dollar (USD) is then remitted by the FPIs via their global custodian. This helps reconcile the value of the trade with the FX booked for the same on an end-to-end basis. In the T+1 format, the FPIs would have to pre-fund on an approximate basis, or alternatively keep INR in their account with the Indian custodian on T day itself. FPIs could lose out on ease of reconciliation and on best time to book FX deals. Thirdly, the T+1 model could disrupt the Securities Lending and Borrowing (SLB) segment, and unless trading hours are extended by a few more hours, that product would become irrelevant. Fourthly, as India looks to increase its weightage in the global indices, global funds tracking such indices and ETFs, for example, could face challenges in the absence of adequate liquidity. The concern is that should, for example, one exchange turn into a T+1 exchange, we could see a fragmentation of the order book and the liquidity, should domestic volumes move to such T+1 exchange. It would be interesting to see how the key exchanges in India react to this circular, as that could decide the future of liquidity. Domestic investors could jump at this while FPIs might perhaps wait and watch. Technology can certainly solve the challenges outlined above, and it is a great opportunity for the market intermediaries to chip in here. Congratulations are due to the regulators though, who have avoided the temptation to get prescriptive here and instead chosen to let the market decide the way forward. Let the action begin.

The writer is MD and Co-head, Global Transaction Banking, Deutsche Bank India

The concern is that should, for example, one exchange turn into a T+1 exchange, we could see a fragmentation of the order book and the liquidity, should domestic volumes move to such T+1 exchange

Pilot projects to get drones to deliver vaccines, drugs

PEERZADA ABRAR
Bengaluru, 15 September

On a sunny weekend this month, the residents of Vikarabad district in Telangana witnessed an unusual sight: a flight of drones between the Police Parade Ground and a primary health care centre, a 3-km distance. The flight was aimed at assessing how life-saving blood, vaccines and medicines could be delivered more rapidly and to remote areas.



This was a “beyond visual line of sight” (BVLOS) drone trial run for Telangana's “Medicine from the Sky” project that kicked off in September. It used the identified airspace of the Vikarabad district, 75 km from Hyderabad, and successfully delivered critical healthcare supplies such as vaccines via drones flying at an altitude of 400 metres. “Drones could bring transformational change in rural and remote areas with instant access to vital medical supplies,” said Swapnik Jakkampudi, co-founder of Skye Air Mobility, a drone-tech start-up that ran the trials with Blue Dart Med Express Consortium.

vaccines, especially in remote areas. “Delivery of vaccination through drones would help achieve this goal,” said Manuel. The trials will continue till mid-October. Jakkampudi of Skye Air said drones can reduce a 1.5-hour delivery time by road to 30 minutes or less. This month, TechEagle, another delivery drone firm, also launched drones for the Medicine from the Sky Project. This involved delivering medicine and vaccine samples through drones in collaboration with the Telangana Government, NITI Aayog, World Economic Forum and Apollo Hospitals.

“Our battle against Covid-19 continues to unfold with new challenges that need solutions in real time,” said Balfour Manuel, managing director, Blue Dart. “The pandemic has taught each one of us the importance of logistics and the need for a tech-led supply chain infrastructure.” India has so far vaccinated around 56 per cent of its population with one dose and 17 per cent with both doses. The current situation calls for much deeper penetration of

opied drone technology. Anshu Abhishek, co-founder and chief operating officer of TechEagle, said the Medicine from the Sky project is expected to be an important enabler for the development of the drone industry. “We will evaluate the over 1,000 data points captured in the flights,” he said. Smit Shah, director of Drone Federation of India, said the project is a critical step towards strengthening India's rural healthcare capacity. “We look forward to other states taking inspiration from Telangana's initiative to supply vaccines and other healthcare supplies via drones.” Last month, in Bengaluru, Throttle Aerospace Systems (TAS) and India's largest B2B e-commerce platform Udaan successfully completed the trial run for drone delivery of medicines, under the supervision of the Director General of Civil Aviation. This included the BVLOS trial-run for drone delivery of medicines. The trial was conducted within a 15-km radius at Gauribidanur on the outskirts of Bengaluru by Udaan for the last mile delivery of medicines. The success of the trial run opens a massive opportunity to revolutionise customer experience in the distribution and logistics space. “It is aligned with our vision to build tech-enabled solutions to empower small businesses such as kiranas, shop owners, chemists, and MSMEs that are based in the remote corners of India,” said Soumyadeep Mukherjee, product engineer, Udaan. In June, Flipkart partnered with the Telangana government to lead the consortium tasked with the development and execution of the drone deliveries of medical supplies to remote areas under the Medicine from the Sky project. The pilot was tested out for delivering thousands of Covid-19 vaccines in Hyderabad. Google-backed delivery and e-commerce firm Duzin Digital also led a Med-Air consortium along with industry experts to conduct experimental BVLOS drone delivery flights for the Medicine from the Sky Project.

THE ASKA COOPERATIVE SUGAR INDUSTRIES LTD.
P.O. Nuagam (Aska)-761111, Ganjam District, Odisha
Ph.No.06822-273464, email:askasugar@yahoo.co.in
Website:www.askasugar.com

TENDER CALL NOTICE

Letter No.Mktg./881 Dt.15.09.2021
The Aska Cooperative Sugar Industries Ltd., Aska intends to sale of used Machineries. Please visit our website : www.askasugar.com for detailed Tender Call Notice. The last date for submission of Quotation is **5.00 PM on 29/09/2021** and the same will be opened **11:30AM on 30/09/2021**.
Managing Director

SBI
Corporate Centre, Stressed Assets Resolution Group,
21st floor, E Wing, Maker Tower, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai - 400 005

SALE OF FINANCIAL ASSETS TO BANKS/ARCS/NBFCs/FIs THROUGH e-AUCTION

State Bank of India invites bids from the Banks/ARCS/NBFCs/FIs through e-Auction for sale of financial assets. Banks/ARCS/NBFCs/FIs interested in bidding for buying such financial assets, to be showcased by the Bank from time to time, can participate in the bidding process after executing a non-disclosure agreement with the Bank, if not already executed. For execution of non-disclosure agreement with the Bank & other queries, if any, such interested Banks/ARCS/NBFCs/FIs can contact on e-mail id dgm.sr@sbi.co.in

State Bank of India invites Expression of Interest from Banks/ARCS/NBFCs/FIs for the proposed sale of its Non Performing Asset (NPAs) comprising (04) financial assets with Total outstanding of ₹ 90.76 Crore. Interested prospective bidders are requested to intimate their willingness to participate in the e-Auction by way of an "Expression of Interest". Kindly visit Bank's Website at <https://bank.sbi> Click on link in the News > Auction Notice > ARC AND DRT for further details.

Issued by
Deputy General Manager (ARC)

Place: Mumbai
Date: 16.09.2021

SUNIL HITECH ENGINEERS LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)
Liquidator's Address - 416, Crystal Paradise Co-op. Soc. Ltd., Dattaji Salmi Marg, Above Pizza Express, Off Veera Desai Road, Andheri West, Mumbai-400 053, Contact : +91 9137588928, Email : auction.shel@gmail.com

E-AUCTION - SALE OF ASSETS UNDER IBC 2016
Date and Time of Auction : **06th October 2021 (Wednesday) at 3:00 P. M. to 4:00 P. M.**
(with unlimited extension of 5 minutes each)

Sale of Assets owned by **SUNIL HITECH ENGINEERS LIMITED** (in Liquidation) forming part of Liquidation Estate under sec. 35 (f) of IBC 2016 read with Regulation 33 of Liquidation Process Regulations. E-Auction will be conducted on "AS IS WHERE IS AND AS IS WHAT IS BASIS". The Sale will be done by undersigned through e-auction service provider **E-PROCUREMENT TECHNOLOGIES LIMITED-AUCTION TIGER** via website <https://ncl.auction.auctiontiger.net>. (Amount in INR)

Sr. No.	Location	Particulars	Qty	Reserve Price	EMD	Incremental Bid Amount
1	Kudgi, Karnataka	Crane	1	15,47,000	1,54,700	80,000
2	Singareni, Telangana	Civil Equipment	10	3,12,500	31,250	30,000
3	Solapur, Maharashtra	Hydra Crane, Container, 4-Wheeler, 2-wheeler, Commercial Vehicle Mechanical Items, Civil Equipment and inventory	62	7,82,100	78,210	50,000
4	Daripalli, Odisha	Civil Equipment	19	17,15,000	1,71,500	80,000
5	CWS Butburi, Maharashtra	Hydra Crane, Container, 4-Wheeler, 2-wheeler, Commercial Vehicle, Civil Equipment and Inventory	48	14,29,000	1,42,900	80,000
6	Sami, MP	Hydra Crane, Civil and Mechanical Equipments	8	8,90,000	89,000	50,000
7	Meja, UP	Hydra Crane, Container, 4-Wheeler, Civil and Mechanical Equipments	39	11,22,000	1,12,200	80,000
8	North Karanpura, Jharkhand	Hydra Cranes and Container	5	4,60,000	46,000	30,000
9	Abir, Singhitari, Chhattisgarh	Cranes Hydra Crane, Container, 4-Wheeler, 2-wheeler, Commercial Vehicle, Civil & Mechanical Equipment	6 956	45,86,900 84,37,900	4,58,690 8,43,790	1,00,000 2,00,000
10	BOP Parli, Maharashtra	Cranes, Hydra Crane, Container, 4-Wheeler, 2-wheeler, Commercial Vehicle, Civil & Mechanical Equipment	131	44,85,000	4,48,500	1,00,000
11	Vindhyachal, UP	Container, Hydra Crane, 2-wheeler and inventory	Lot	2,17,500	21,750	20,000
12	Bhalinda, Punjab	Hydra Crane, Civil Equipments	5	1,30,000	13,000	10,000
13	Jhabua, MP	Hydra Crane	1	3,30,000	33,000	30,000
14	Mumbai	Ertiga UP-16-AW-0089	1	2,10,000	21,000	20,000

Last date for inspection : **30th September 2021 (Thursday)**
Last date of EMD submission : **04th October 2021 (Monday) up to 5:00 PM**
Date and time of E-Auction : **06th October 2021 (Wednesday) at 3:00 PM to 4:00 PM.**
Note : The detailed Terms & Conditions, E-Auction Bid Document, Declaration & other details of online auction sale are available on <https://ncl.auction.auctiontiger.net> and website of Sunil Hitech Engineers Limited <https://sunilhitech.com>
In case of any clarifications, please contact the undersigned at auction.shel@gmail.com

Sd/-
Avil Menezes
As Liquidator of **Sunil Hitech Engineers Limited**
wide order dated 25th June 2019
IP Registration No. IBB/1PA-001/IP-P0017/2016-17/10041
Address : 416, Crystal Paradise Co-op. Soc. Ltd., Dattaji Salmi Marg, Above Pizza Express, Off Veera Desai Rd., Andheri (W), Mumbai-400 053.
Date : 16th September 2021
Place: Mumbai
Email : auction.shel@gmail.com

ARSS INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS LIMITED
Regd. Office : Plot No. -38, Sector-A, Zone-D, Mancheswar Industrial Estate, Bhubaneswar, Odisha - 751010
Corp. Office : ARSS Mall, Plot no-40, Community Centre, Block-A, Paschim Vihar, Opp-Jwalaheri Market, New Delhi - 110063
Tel No. : +91-0674-2602763, E-mail : cs@arssgroup.in, Website: www.arssgroup.in, CIN: L14103OR2000PLC006230

EXTRACT OF UNAUDITED STANDALONE AND CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL RESULTS FOR THE QUARTER ENDED ON (Rs. in Lakhs) 2021

Sl. No.	Particulars	Standalone		Consolidated	
		Quarter ended		Quarter ended	
		June 30, 2021 (Un Audited)	March 31, 2021 (Un Audited)	June 30, 2021 (Un Audited)	March 31, 2021 (Un Audited)
1.	Total Revenue from Operations	5,944.52	9,209.67	4,128.04	24,845.68
2.	Net Profit / (Loss) before Tax, Exceptional and/or Extraordinary Items	(1,981.95)	552.07	38.74	(5,060.51)
3.	Net Profit/(Loss) before Tax (after Exceptional and/or Extraordinary Items)	(1,981.95)	552.07	38.74	(1,976.68)
4.	Net Profit / (Loss) after Tax (after Exceptional and/or Extraordinary Items)	(2,046.05)	495.22	111.19	(2,040.78)
5.	Total Comprehensive Income [Comprising Profit / (Loss) after Tax and Other Comprehensive Income after tax]	(2,046.05)	495.22	111.19	(2,040.78)
6.	Equity Share Capital	2,273.80	2,273.80	2,273.80	2,273.80
7.	Earnings per share (of ₹10/- each) Basic & Diluted (Rs.)	(9.00)	2.18	0.49	(23.16)

Notes:

a) The above financial results of the Company for the quarter ended June 30, 2021 have been reviewed and recommended by the audit Committee and approved by the Board of Directors of the Company in their respective meetings held on September, 15, 2021. These results are being published in accordance with Regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015.

b) The above is an extract of the detailed format of the Statement of Unaudited Standalone and Consolidated Financial Results for the quarter ended on June 30, 2021 filed with the Stock Exchanges under Regulation 33 of the SEBI (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015. The full format of the Statements of Unaudited Standalone and Consolidated Financial Results for the quarter ended on 30th June, 2021, are available on the website of the Stock Exchanges - www.bseindia.com and www.nseindia.com as well as on the website of the Company - www.arssgroup.in.

For and on behalf of the Board of Directors
ARSS Infrastructure Projects Limited
Sd/-
Rajesh Agarwal
Managing Director (DIN-00217823)

Date : 15 September, 2021
Place : Bhubaneswar

