



पंजाब नैशनल बैंक



punjab national bank

Share Department, Board & Co-Ordination Division, HO Plot No.4 Sector 10, Dwarka, New Delhi-110075  
Tel No: 011-28044857 E-mail: [hosd@pnb.co.in](mailto:hosd@pnb.co.in)

<b>Scrip Code : PNB</b> The Asstt. Vice President National Stock Exchange of India Limited "Exchange Plaza" Bandra – Kurla Complex, Bandra (E) <b>Mumbai – 400 051</b>	<b>Scrip Code : 532461</b> The Dy General Manager Bombay Stock Exchange Limited 1 <sup>st</sup> Floor, Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, <b>Mumbai – 400 001</b>
---	--

Date: 03.12.2020

Dear Sir (s),


**Reg.: Rating Action by Fitch Ratings.**

The Exchange is hereby informed that Fitch Ratings has vide its rating action dated 03.12.2020 affirmed PNB's Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB-', with a Negative Outlook. The agency has also affirmed the Bank's Viability Rating (VR) at 'b', Support Rating Floor at 'BBB-' and Support Rating at '2'. A copy of the Rating Action is enclosed herewith.

This is in compliance with Regulation 30 of SEBI (LODR) Regulations, 2015.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully,

  
(Ekta Pasricha)  
Company Secretary



Encl.: as above



## RATING ACTION COMMENTARY

# Fitch Affirms Punjab National Bank at 'BBB-'; Outlook Negative

Thu 03 Dec, 2020 - 6:22 AM ET

Fitch Ratings - Singapore/Mumbai - 03 Dec 2020: Fitch Ratings has affirmed Punjab National Bank's (PNB) Long-Term Issuer Default Rating (IDR) at 'BBB-', with a Negative Outlook. The agency has also affirmed the bank's Viability Rating (VR) at 'b', Support Rating Floor at 'BBB-' and Support Rating at '2'. A full list of rating actions is at the end of this commentary.

The Negative Outlook on the IDR mirrors the Outlook on India's 'BBB-' sovereign rating, which was revised to Negative from Stable on 18 June 2020 due to the impact of the escalating coronavirus pandemic on India's economy. For more details on the sovereign rating action, please see "Fitch Revises India's Outlook to Negative, Affirms IDR at 'BBB-' at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/pr/10126674>.

The operating environment for Indian banks remains challenging despite a moderate revival in economic activity due to a gradual easing of the lockdown since May 2020. Fitch revised India's real GDP growth for the year ending March 2021 (FY21) to -10.5% from -5% in September 2020, but expects a rebound to 11% in FY22 although GDP is not expected to return to its 2019 level until 1QFY22, and will remain permanently below its pre-COVID-19 path due to the lasting scars left by the crisis. The economic contraction is likely to result in protracted weakness in the asset-quality cycle, which could potentially manifest significantly in higher stressed loans and ultimately more write-offs over the next few

years, even though Indian banks' latest 2QFY21 earnings present a more benign picture (see Indian Banks to Face Tough Times at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10137748>).

Fitch believes a speedy economic recovery is critical for the sector to rebound meaningfully, without which we expect a moderately worse landscape for the Indian banking sector in 2021 on the back of weak prospects for new business and revenue generation.

## KEY RATING DRIVERS

### IDR, SUPPORT RATING AND SUPPORT RATING FLOOR

PNB's Long-Term IDR of 'BBB-' is driven by its Support Rating of '2' and Support Rating Floor of 'BBB-'. It reflects Fitch's expectation of a high probability of extraordinary government support, if required, due to the bank's high systemic importance and state linkages. This is driven by its significant market share (around 7% and 8% of sector assets and deposits, respectively, following a merger), an adequate pan-India franchise, and 85.6% state ownership. Large state-owned banks such as PNB also play an important policy role in furthering the state's social lending objectives and thus are also important from a financial inclusion perspective. Fitch believes a default by a large bank such as PNB would result in a general loss of confidence in the sector and pose potentially high reputational risks for the state.

### VIABILITY RATING

PNB's VR is three notches below our assessment of the banking system's operating environment score. It reflects the bank's weaker-than-peer financial metrics, including its less-than-satisfactory capital buffers, which are vulnerable to even moderate stress. PNB's weak intrinsic risk profile also factors in its high impaired loans and consistent losses in the last three years. The bank's performance improved slightly in 1HFY21 but we believe there is still downside to the VR in consideration of the risks that even moderate asset-quality deterioration can pose to PNB's limited capital buffers under the currently challenging business and economic conditions.

The bank's common equity Tier 1 (CET1) ratio took a hit following its merger with two mid-sized state banks in April 2020. The CET1 ratio dropped to 9.5% at 1HFYE21 from 10.7% in FY20, only 153bp above the regulatory minimum requirement of 8%, which has been postponed to April 2021 due to the pandemic. The limited available capital buffer and a

high net impaired loan/CET1 ratio of 52.3% means the bank's core capitalisation is vulnerable to even moderate shocks, which justifies our negative outlook on capitalisation. Capital augmentation is considered important as income buffers are not adequate to absorb heightened credit costs should stress levels increase. PNB's board-approved plan to raise capital of INR70 billion (113bp of risk-weighted assets in 1HFY21) in FY21 is therefore modest relative to potential risks although there is uncertainty over PNB's ability to raise the intended amount in full. It underscores the challenges we believe state banks in general face due to their highly discounted equity valuation (PNB: 0.3x), thus resulting in high dependence on the state for a large portion of their capital needs.

The impaired-loan ratio improved (1HFY21: 13.4%, FY20: 14.2%) on the back of lower slippages, which were partly due to a loan moratorium, and continued write-offs. However, impaired loans do not fully reflect potential stress as PNB had nearly 33% of loans under moratorium at 1HFYE21. Fitch believes the risk of asset-quality deterioration is high over the medium term starting 3QFY21, although not all stress will be recognised as impaired loans. The one-time loan restructuring scheme for COVID-19-related stress and the availability of refinancing from banks could structurally delay recognition of potential stress as non-performing loans (NPL), and Fitch expects the full extent of stress to materialise over the next two years. However, Fitch will continue to treat restructured loans as stressed loans.

Risks are heightened in PNB's SME and retail loan book (1HFY21: 17% and 18% of loans, respectively). SME loans are affected by structural demand challenges and cash flow disruptions, but the risks from retail loans may be higher due to their lower seasoning from the fast growth in recent years. However, we think retail loans are more resilient while imminent stress in SME loans may not surface immediately due to refinancing under government-sponsored schemes. Stressed corporate sectors such as non-bank financial institutions, infrastructure, auto, construction, real estate and engineering are also at risk as they cumulatively constituted nearly 32% of total loans at 1QFYE21. The share of corporate loans locally rated below investment grade increased to 29% at 1HFYE21 (FY20: 23%), an indication of stress. PNB's loan-loss cover improved moderately (1HFY20: 68%, FY20: 63%) but it was largely due to NPL ageing and partly because of significant provisioning by merging entities. However, pandemic-related provision of an additional cover of 1% is not sufficient to shield the loan-loss cover from falling once slippages start rising from 3QFY21, which we believe is likely.

The improvement in operating profit/risk-weighted assets (1HFY21: 0.5%, FY20: 0.2%) is in line with peers but is unlikely to be sustained if increased asset-quality stress results in higher fresh slippages and loan-impairment charges (1HFY21: 2.7%, FY20: 3.1%). The

available buffers are thin as loan and securities impairment charges were around 86% of pre-provision profits at 1HFYE21. This reflects the continued structural weakness of PNB's earnings as it faces a high risk of losses even under moderate stress.

The VR also factors in PNB's stable funding profile, which is underpinned by its wide reach and close government links, although their influence on the VR is lower than the financial profile factors above. PNB's deposit share has remained steady in the current uncertain environment, which reflects continued depositor confidence, driven by state ownership. Its low-cost deposit ratio improved further (1HFY21: 43% of deposits, FY20: 42%) while stable liquidity is reflected in its liquidity-coverage ratio (1QFY21: 172%).

## **RATING SENSITIVITIES**

**IDRS, SUPPORT RATING, SUPPORT RATING FLOOR** Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade: The Support Rating and Support Rating Floor are most sensitive to the agency's assessment of the government's propensity and ability to support the bank, based on its size, systemic importance and linkage to the state. Weakening of the government's ability to provide extraordinary support - reflected by negative action on India's sovereign ratings - would lead to negative action on the IDRs. Negative action on the IDR is also likely should Fitch perceive any reduction in the government's propensity to extend timely support, in which case the agency will reassess the Support Rating and Support Rating Floor, and in turn, the bank's IDR and senior debt ratings, although that is not our base case. Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade: PNB's IDR is driven by its Support Rating Floor. A revision of the sovereign rating Outlook to Stable would lead to a corresponding IDR Outlook revision, provided the sovereign's propensity to support remains unchanged. An upgrade to PNB's Support Rating Floor could occur in the event of a sovereign upgrade if Fitch believes that the sovereign's ability and propensity to support the bank has improved. However, an upgrade of the sovereign rating appears less certain in the near term. A revision in the VR is unlikely to affect the IDR as the IDR is five notches above the VR.

**VR** Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade: PNB's VR could be downgraded to 'b-' if we see further significant weakening of the bank's loan quality (impaired + restructured loan ratio approaching 20% from 13.4% impaired-loan ratio at 1HFY21) and impairment charges, which would imply another protracted asset quality cycle for PNB. It would put further pressure on PNB's structurally weak earnings leading to higher-than-expected losses and further affecting a weakened CET1 ratio, pushing it towards the minimum regulatory threshold of 8%. A downgrade of the VR to the 'ccc' category or lower is likely if weak asset quality (stressed-asset ratio well above

20% from 13.4% impaired-loan ratio at 1HFY21) and a resurgence of losses, in the absence of ordinary state support, compromise the bank's core capital position and increase the prospects of extraordinary support. Fitch believes the bank's measures to help clients through the downturn will become less effective the longer the downturn lasts, which is likely to limit the speed of recovery after the pandemic has been brought under control. Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade: A VR upgrade is unlikely in the near term in light of the risks to the bank's intrinsic profile from rising external pressures due to the challenging operating environment. However, pressure on the VR would ease if an economic recovery is faster than our expectations, as it would return the bank's asset quality and capitalisation towards a path of sustainable improvement. The bank's capitalisation and profitability, including the ability to sustain a higher CET1 ratio of close to 12%, along with sustainable improvement in asset quality (stressed-asset ratio well below 10%) and earnings, will be important considerations for an upgrade.

## **BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO**

International scale credit ratings of Financial Institutions and Covered Bond issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of four notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit [<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579>]

## **REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING**

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

## **ESG CONSIDERATIONS**

PNB has an ESG Relevance Score of 4 for Governance Structure. This reflects our assessment that key governance aspects, in particular board independence and effectiveness, ownership concentration and protection of creditor or stakeholder rights, have a moderate and negative influence on the VR. We think its less-developed governance



is evident from weak underwriting, resulting in high levels of poorly performing loans and credit losses. The boards are dominated by government appointees while its business models often focus on supporting government strategy with lending directed towards promoting socioeconomic and macroeconomic policies, which may include lending to government-owned companies. These factors also drive our view of the bank's state linkages that affect support prospects and drive the long-term ratings.

PNB also has an ESG Relevance Score of 4 for Financial Transparency. This reflects our assessment that the quality and frequency of financial reporting and the auditing process are a moderate influence on the VR. It is a negative influence as these factors have become more prominent in the past few years because of the sharp financial deterioration at state banks and the wide reported divergence in NPL recognition between the banks and the regulator, although these incidences have fallen in recent years. Financial transparency is considered pivotal for general business and depositor confidence and can lead to significant reputational risk if not managed well.

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit [www.fitchratings.com/esg](http://www.fitchratings.com/esg).

## RATING ACTIONS

ENTITY/DEBT	RATING			PRIOR
Punjab National Bank	LT IDR	BBB- Rating Outlook Negative	Affirmed	BBB- Rating Outlook Negative
	ST IDR	F3	Affirmed	F3
	Viability	b	Affirmed	b
	Support	2	Affirmed	2
	Support Floor	BBB-	Affirmed	BBB-

[VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS](#)**FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS****Willie Tanoto**

Director

Primary Rating Analyst

+65 6796 7219

Fitch Ratings Singapore Pte Ltd.

One Raffles Quay #22-11, South Tower Singapore 048583

**Prakash Pandey**

Associate Director

Secondary Rating Analyst

22 4000 1700

**Jonathan Cornish**

Managing Director

Committee Chairperson

+852 2263 9901

**MEDIA CONTACTS****Leslie Tan**

Singapore

+65 6796 7234

leslie.tan@thefitchgroup.com

**Bindu Menon**

Mumbai

+91 22 4000 1727

bindu.menon@fitchratings.com

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)**APPLICABLE CRITERIA**[Bank Rating Criteria \(pub. 28 Feb 2020\) \(including rating assumption sensitivity\)](#)**ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES**



## Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

### Solicitation Status

### Endorsement Policy

## ENDORSEMENT STATUS

Bank of Baroda	EU Endorsed
Bank of Baroda (New Zealand) Limited	EU Endorsed
Bank of India	EU Endorsed
IDBI Bank Limited	EU Endorsed
Punjab National Bank	EU Endorsed
State Bank of India	EU Endorsed

## DISCLAIMER

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY FOLLOWING THIS LINK: [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN ADDITION, THE FOLLOWING [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/RATING-DEFINITIONS-DOCUMENT](https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document) DETAILS FITCH'S RATING DEFINITIONS FOR EACH RATING SCALE AND RATING CATEGORIES, INCLUDING DEFINITIONS RELATING TO DEFAULT. PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH RATINGS WEBSITE.

### READ LESS

## COPYRIGHT

Copyright © 2020 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and

underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any

time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

[READ LESS](#)

## **SOLICITATION STATUS**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

## **ENDORSEMENT POLICY**

Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the [EU Regulatory Disclosures](#) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.

---

[Banks](#)   [Asia-Pacific](#)   [India](#)   [New Zealand](#)

---