

July 25, 2016

Corporate Relationship Department Bombay Stock Exchange Limited Phiroze Jeejeebhoy Towers, Dalal Street, Fort, Mumbai 400001

Capital Markets-Listing National Stock Exchange of India Ltd Exchange Plaza, 5th Floor, Plot No. C/1, G Block, Bandra Kurla Complex, Bandra (East), Mumbai 400051

Dear Sir,

Sub: Regulation 33(3)(d) of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015

Re: Stock Code: 500337 (BSE) / PRIMESECU (NSE)

Pursuant to the provisions of Regulation 33(3)(d) of the Securities and Exchange Board of India (Listing Obligations and Disclosure Requirements) Regulations, 2015 as amended on May 25, 2016 and SEBI Circular CIR/CFD/CMD/56/2016 dated May 27, 2016, please find enclosed the following:

- 1. Statement of Impact of Audit Qualifications for the financial year ended March 31, 2016 (Standalone); and
- Statement of Impact of Audit Qualifications for the financial year ended March 31, 2016 (Consolidated)

This is for your information and records.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully, For Prime Securities Limited



Prime Securities Limited 1109 / 1110, Maker Chambers V, Nariman Point, Mumbai 400 021. CIN: L67120MH1982PLC026724

Statement of Impact of Audit Qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion) submitted alongwith Annual Audited Financial Results for the Financial Year ended March 31, 2016 (Standalone)

I.	Sr. No.		Particulars	Audited Figures (as reported before adjusting for qualifiations)	Adjusted Figures (audited figures after adjusting for qualifiations)	
	1.	Tu	rnover / Total Income	3,356.61	2,481.61	
	2.		tal Expenditure	1,889.10	2,905.20	
	3.		t Profit / (Loss)	1,467.51	(423.59)	
	4.	_	mings Per Share	5.30	(1.53)	
	5.		tal Assets	11,423.40	11,423.40	
	6.	_	tal Liabilities	4,793.56	6,684.66	
	7.		t Worth	6,629.84	4,738.74	
	8.	An	y other financial item(s) (as felt appropriate by the	Z		
¥7			nagement)		l	
<u>II.</u>	1)		Qualification: Details of Audit Qualification:			
		c) d)	 loans as in the opinion of the management the s negotiations with the lenders. Had the Company would have been lower by that amount. Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion Frequency of Qualification: Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013 For Audit Qualification where the impact is qualities of the opinion that the same may not be p settlement of the loan and accordingly, the same the financial statements. For Audit Qualification where the impact is not i) Management's estimation on the impact of Not Applicable iii) If management is unable to estimate the immodeling of the impact is not in the process of the impact is not applicable iii) Auditor's comments on (i) or (ii) above: 	antified by auditor, M ag the terms with the len ayable pursuant to a p is not expected to have t quantified by the aud audit qualification:	anagement's views: der and the managemen proposed restructuring any material impact or litor:	
	2)	The Company has written-back Rs. 875.00 lacs being part of an unsecured loan based on the management's assessment of the situation. Had the Company not made such write-back, the protection for the year would have been lower by that amount.				
			Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion			
		c)	Frequency of Qualification:			
			Appearing for the first time		_	
		d)	For Audit Qualification where the impact is qu			
	1		The management has written-back the said loan a	as it was no longer paya	ble in the opinion of th	
	1		management.			
		e)	For Audit Qualification where the impact is no	ot quantified by the au	ditor:	
		ς,	For Autre Quantication where the impact is in			
		0,	i) Management's estimation on the impact of	audit qualification:		
		0)		audit qualification:		
		0)	i) Management's estimation on the impact of	-	ame:	
		0)	 i) Management's estimation on the impact of Not Applicable ii) If management is unable to estimate the im 	-	ame:	
		•,	i) Management's estimation on the impact of Not Applicable	-	ame:	

3)	a)	Details of Audit Qualification:		
		An unsecured loan of Rs. 525.00 lacs is categorize	-	
		cannot be validated in absence of confirmation		
		Accordingly, the impact on the financial statements of the categorization of the loan and non-provision of interest thereon remains unascertained.		
	b)	Type of Audit Qualification:		
		Qualified Opinion		
	c)	Frequency of Qualification: Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013		
	d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quantified by auditor, Management's views:			
		Not Applicable		
	e)	For Audit Qualification where the impact is not	quantified by the auditor:	
		i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit qualification:		
		Not quantifiable	-	
ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, reasons for the same:				
The management is in the process of renegotiating terms of these unsecured advance hence, the same is not ascertainable				
			certained in absence of confirmation of balance	
		and terms of repayment/interest.		
T Si	anata	pries:		
	mau			
	• •			
	r. N.	Jayakumar (Managing Director):	Jayakumad	
			- 1	
Mr. Nikhil Shah (Chief Financial Officer):		chil Shah (Chiaf Einanaial Officar)	, Val	
11/1	1. 1.411	kini Shan (Ciller Fillancial Officer).	NY.S-	
Mr. Nikhil Shah (Chief Financial Officer):		·	P	
м	r Prs	adip Dubhashi (Audit Committee Chairman)	1 Mara	
1 1 4 1.	** * 10	mp wooddon (radar Comminuter Channian)	WIV	

gandhi

MUNBAI

ered Acco

.

Mr. Milind Gandhi, M/s. Gandhi & Associates, Chartered Accountants (Auditor of the Company)

Statement of Impact of Audit Qualifications (for audit report with modified opinion) submitted alongwith Annual Audited Financial Results for the Financial Year ended March 31, 2016 (Consolidated)

[.	Sr. No.		Particulars	Audited Figures (as reported before adjusting for qualifiations)	Adjusted Figures (audited figures after adjusting for qualifiations)		
	1.	Tu	rnover / Total Income	3,700.83	2,825.83		
	2.	+	tal Expenditure	2,281.27	3,865.00		
	3.	_	t Profit / (Loss)	1,419.56	(1,039.17)		
	4.		mings Per Share	5.36	(3.92)		
	5.		tal Assets	20,278.14	20,278.14		
	6.		tal Liabilities	20,318.59	22,777.32		
			t Worth	(40.45)	(2,499.18)		
	8.	An	y other financial item(s) (as felt appropriate by the nagement)	(10.15)			
I.	And		Qualification:		<u> </u>		
A.+	1)		Details of Audit Qualification:				
		 with the respective parties. Had such provision been made, the profit for the year would have been lower by that amount. b) Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion c) Frequency of Qualification: Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013 d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quantified by auditor, Management's views: The management is in the process of re-negotiating the terms with the lender and is of the opinion that the same may not be payable pursuant to a proposed restructuring / settlement of the loan an accordingly, the same is not expected to have any material impact on the financial statements. e) For Audit Qualification where the impact is not quantified by the auditor: i) Management's estimation on the impact of audit qualification: Not Applicable ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, reasons for the same: 					
	2)	a)	Not Applicable iii) Auditor's comments on (i) or (ii) above: Not Applicable Details of Audit Qualification:	-			
		 A sum of Rs. 875 lacs has been written-back out of an unsecured loan based on the management assessment of the situation. Had it not been written-back, the profit for the year would have bee lower by that amount. b) Type of Audit Qualification: 					
		c)	Qualified Opinion Frequency of Qualification:				
		 Appearing for the first time d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quantified by auditor, Management's vi The management has written-back the said loan as it was no longer payable in the opinion management. 					
		e)	 For Audit Qualification where the impact is no i) Management's estimation on the impact of Not Applicable 	-			

2)	-)				
.	a)	No provision is considered necessary by the man 12,306.03 lacs which are outstanding on account of exchange. Accordingly, the impact on the financial	f dispute and ongoing litigation with the stock statements, if any, cannot be ascertained if the		
	b)				
		Qualified Opinion			
	c)	Frequency of Qualification:			
		Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013			
d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quantified by auditor, Management's view					
Not Applicable					
	e)				
			udit qualification:		
		-	and managing for the series		
ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact, reasons for the same:					
In view of the pending litigation and uncertainty of its outcome, the management is not able to assortain the requirement of provision for doubtful recovery.					
		• •			
		, , , , , ,	tements cannot be ascertained		
4)	a)	1	tements cannot be ascertanica.		
 An unsecured loan of Rs. 525.00 lacs is categorized as interest-free Short-term Borrowings cannot be validated in absence of confirmation of balance and terms of repayment/in Accordingly, the impact on the financial statements of the categorization of the loan and provision of interest thereon remains unascertained. b) Type of Audit Qualification: 		of balance and terms of repayment/interest. nts of the categorization of the loan and non-			
Qualified Opinion					
c) Frequency of Qualification:					
Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013					
d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quantified by auditor, Management's vi					
e) For Audit Qualification where the impact is not quantified by the auditor:					
			nact reasons for the same		
			certained in absence of confirmation of balance		
		and terms of repayment/interest.			
Sig	nate	ories:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Mr.	N.	Jayakumar (Managing Director):	Janja Kumaa		
Mr.	Nil	thil Shah (Chief Financial Officer):	HHShal		
Mr.	Pra	dip Dubhashi (Audit Committee Chairman)	Ombronis		
		lind Gandhi, M/s. Gandhi & Associates, Chartered tants (Auditor of the Company)	Handhi (Smill And		
	Mr.	 b) c) d) e) 4) a) b) c) d) c) d) c) d) e) Signato Mr. N. Mr. Nik Mr. Nik	 No provision is considered necessary by the man 12,306.03 lacs which are outstanding on account of exchange. Accordingly, the impact on the financial same or part thereof is subsequently determined dot b) Type of Audit Qualification: Qualified Opinion c) Frequency of Qualification: Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013 d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quat Not Applicable e) For Audit Qualification where the impact is not i) Management's estimation on the impact of a Not quantifiable ii) If management is unable to estimate the imp In view of the pending litigation and uncertain to ascertain the requirement of provision for dot iii) Auditor's comments on (i) or (ii) above: The impact of qualification: An unsecured loan of Rs. 525.00 lacs is categorize cannot be validated in absence of confirmation Accordingly, the impact on the financial statement provision of interest thereon remains unascertained b) Type of Audit Qualification: Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013 d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quatified Opinion c) Frequency of Qualification: Appearing since FY ended September 30, 2013 d) For Audit Qualification where the impact is quatified Opinion c) Frequency of Qualification where the impact of a Not Applicable e) For Audit Qualification where the impact of a Not Applicable e) For Audit Qualification where the impact of a Not quantifiable ii) If management is unable to estimate the impact of a Not quantifiable ii) If management is on the process of renego hence, the same is not ascertainable iii) Auditor's comments on (i) or (ii) above: The impact of audit qualification remains unascertainable 		

e.